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Editorial

This has been a sad and difficult year for us all on both personal and professional levels. Madness is breeding more madness, and there has been little on the horizon to suggest that the insanity will soon diminish. In view of the scale of human suffering that has already been unleashed, and the horrors of what might be released in the future, the contemplation of our individual research problems is trivial. But perhaps it is simply these refuges from the dreadful that allows us to maintain our own sanity. We can hope that rational minds will prevail, but we ourselves must also work for peace. We wish for a new year that restores humanity and dignity to humanity all over the world.

G.O. Rollefson & H.G.K. Gebel

IMPORTANT:
Neo-Lithics On Its Own Account

Dear colleagues, dear subscribers,

We would like to inform you that beginning in 2003 Neo-Lithics will appear with more pages and in a technically much improved format. You will notice improvements of the general layout, quality and size of illustrations, print font size, and binding. Although we are not aiming to change the general character, intent, and policies of the newsletter, we may emphasize that for future presentations (field reports and contributions) we support submissions that have a more journal-like character. On the other hand, we will continue to encourage our originally intended discursive character of the newsletter in order to promote its role as a forum for the exchange of innovative and debated ideas. This involves encouraging colleagues to comment on recently published ideas, and we ourselves hope to raise topics that might require discussions from several sides of a debate.

Now some technical information on this shift: Since the production costs and postage for the "new" newsletter will be considerably higher, the postal rates in Germany were considerably risen by the beginning of the year, ex oriente will not be able to maintain the subscription rate of 24 Euros (ca. $24) for 6 issues (since 1994). However, nothing will change for subscriptions currently in effect. The new cost will be 52 Euros (for 6 issues = 3 years subscription) and will be charged only for the new orders or renewed subscriptions. As always, the status of your subscription will be found in the upper right part of the address label.

Beginning in 2003 Neo-Lithics will also be distributed free to members of ex oriente (annual membership from 2003 onwards: 40 Euros, 25% membership discount on ex oriente publications except Neo-Lithics; we will soon contact those who both are members and subscribers in order to settle this change). The editors of Neo-Lithics and ex oriente are would be happy to receive any suggestions or comments you may have concerning this improvement of Neo-Lithics, both in technical terms and its role as a forum of quick exchange of opinions.

ex oriente e.V., Berlin & editors of Neo-Lithics

Deadline for the coming issue of Neo-Lithics is May 15th, 2003 (next deadline: Nov. 15th, 2003)

Please, note that the text of contributions should be send as an email attachment directly to Gary O. Rollefson (rollefsgo@whitman.edu; Dept. of Anthropology, Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA, 99362 USA). Illustrations should be sent separately by snailmail to H.G.K. Gebel at the Berlin address (Free University of Berlin, Hütteweg 7, D-14195 Berlin; sending digitalized illustrations is also possible (Email: hggebel@zedat.fu-berlin.de) from now on. Please, submit only high quality illustrations.

A Large Obsidian Core from Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh,
Northwest Syria

Osamu Maeda
University of Tsukuba (s965018@ipe.tsukuba.ac.jp)

At Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh a large unused obsidian preformed core was discovered during the construction of a recent agricultural drain. This preformed core is more than 14 cm. in maximum dimension, and such extraordinarily large obsidian artifacts have not been found so far in regions far from obsidian sources in the Anatolian mountains. This discovery is worth discussing here because this extraordinary obsidian preformed core may provide us with new evidence of obsidian exchange and lithic production in the northern Levant.

Since the beginning of the 1990s, the University of Tsukuba has been conducting archaeological investigations at Tell el-Kerkh in northwest Syria. The site is located in the Rouj Basin, which is adjacent to the north end of the Ghab Valley 70 km southwest of the modern city of Aleppo. It consists of three artificial mounds, Tell el-Kerkh 1, Tell el-Kerkh 2, and Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh. Small soundings were carried out at Tell el-Kerkh 2 in 1992 (Iwasaki Tsuneki and Miyake 1996), and since 1997 large scale excavations have been undertaken at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh under the direction of Prof. A. Tsuneki (University of Tsukuba, Japan) and Mr. J. Hydar (Latakia National Museum, Syria) (Arimura 1999; Tsuneki et al. 2000). At both sites Neolithic occupations through the PPNB to the PN have been investigated.

The obsidian preformed core discussed here was found in backdirt from a trench dug during agricultural drain pipe construction, which was conducted after we finished the research season in 2001. Unfortunately, the trench was dug by a backhoe across the northern edge of Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh, and some archaeozoological materials were exposed. Among these materials, most of which seem to belong to the Neolithic period, was a large obsidian preformed core which was collected by local people. Unfortunately, its chronological context is not exactly known because it was found from secondary deposited backdirt. Judging from the materials recovered together, however, it seems plausible that this obsidian preformed core can be ascribed to the Late PPNB or the early phase of the Pottery Neolithic period.

Preformed core

This preformed core is 14.1 x 3.3 x 10.5 cm in size and 715 g in weight (Fig.1). It is made of transparent black obsidian. In typological terms, it can be classified into a single platform prismatic core with a ridged back and an inclined platform. However, unlike usual single platform prismatic cores, the width of the working surface of this preformed core is quite narrow when compared with its length. Both sides are shaped by removing large thin flakes, but original surfaces of the core blank are left on the central part of both sides. Its back maintains a natural surface, which is heavily weathered and looks like a thin
cortical layer whitish brown in color. A central crested ridge is not present; instead, the back is flattened by series of flakes knapped horizontally, forming a ridge at a corner between the side and back. Interestingly, the working surface is formed not by a crested ridge but by a flat surface. The original surface of the blank was used for the working surface. It seems that primary blades were supposed to be detached from the corners between the working surface and sides. A few short blade scars are left on the working surface. These were possibly flaked to trim the working surface before blade detachment started or were probably caused by the failure of primary blade detachment. The platform is a single scar produced from the front of the core toward the back. The upper part of both sides of the preformed core was shaped after making the platform.

If the original shape of the blank of this preformed core is estimated based on the original surfaces left on its sides, back and front, it seems that this preformed core was made on thick and large flake or flake-like blank. Taking advantage of the original shape of raw material, roughing out was possibly not necessary, or was done easily if needed, and then it could be shaped out to the preformed core. After production, this preformed core seems to have been abandoned or stored somewhere before blade detachment started.

If these two possibilities are to be rejected, the other possibility is that the people visited the obsidian sources at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh. There are some flint blade cores which, having a narrow working surface and a flat back, are almost identical to this obsidian preformed core in their size and shape. Thus, it can be said that this preformed core was produced at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh by using local core production technology in common with the small obsidian bladelet cores and flint blade cores. Therefore, at the moment it is plausible to think that this preformed core was produced on the site from a blank such as a large flake or a roughout brought from outside of the tell.

**Blank acquisition**

If this preformed core was shaped out on the site, how did people at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh acquire the blank for it? L. Copeland has already suggested possible scenarios in Jazirah (Copeland 1995), and there can be several possibilities for obsidian acquisition by the people of Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh, too.

One possibility is that the people visited the obsidian sources to obtain the blank which was suitable to produce this type of preformed core. However, such a direct approach to obsidian sources was not likely the case because it is known that obsidian at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh was derived from various kinds of sources, showing various color variations, not only from Cappadocia but also from east Anatolia. It does not seem to have been efficient for the people at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh to visit every source far from their home (at least more than 300 km. as the crow flies to the nearest source in Cappadocia).

On the other hand, there is another possibility that a special group who engaged in obsidian exchange came to Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh with an obsidian blank, and then produced this preformed core at the site by using local technology. Although this possibility can not totally ruled out, this does not seem to be the case here because the common typological features between this preformed core and other local-made cores, especially flint cores, at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh implies that both of them were possibly produced by the same local people.

If these two possibilities are to be rejected, the other possibility is exchange, through which the blank of this preformed core was brought to the site. If this is the case, it can be assumed that the blank, which is suitable to provide a single platform prismatic core, was exchanged among settlements between the obsidian sources and Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh, and shaped out to the local type of preformed core at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh.

**Summary**

This preformed core is positive evidence for large obsidian blade production at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh. However, it must be noted that such large blade core production was
rather exceptional at Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh. No other large cores or preformed cores have been found so far, in contrast to the fact that a lot of small obsidian bladelet cores have been found. If we consider the low ratio of lateral blades, crested blades, or other debitage associated with large blade production among the obsidian artifacts, we must say that most of the blades were not produced on the site but brought to it in a finished form. The presence of a few bidirectional blades or blades made of greenish obsidian, for which there is no evidence of on-site production, certainly shows that at least these blades were brought from outside.

Accordingly, we must think that the people of Tell 'Ain el-Kerkh obtained obsidian through exchange in various forms. Blades and bladelets were imported, although some bladelets were produced on the site. At the same time, it now appears that some of the large blades were also produced on the site. It means that obsidian exchange in the Neo-Lithic Levant had various forms and was not unified in a specific way.
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14C Dating of Pedogenic Carbonate Coatings on Wall Stones at Göbekli Tepe (Southeastern Turkey)

Konstantin Pustovoytov
University of Hohenheim (pustovoytov@t-online.de)

At present there are only two radiocarbon dates from Göbekli Tepe obtained on charcoal material from the fill ("Snake Pillar Building", area L9-75) (Kromer and Schmidt 1998). Further refinement of the absolute chronology of the site depends on additional assessments of the absolute age. In particular, since the charcoal 14C ages mentioned are likely to pre-date the fill itself and the earliest excavated building phase (Kromer and Schmidt 1998), minimal age estimates are of specific interest. In this report the first results of radiocarbon dating of pedogenic carbonate coatings on wall stones in Göbekli Tepe are presented.

Table 1. 14C dates from the assayed samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Sample position</th>
<th>Lab No</th>
<th>14C age bp</th>
<th>14C BC 1σ</th>
<th>14C BC 2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L9-66</td>
<td>Central B, pillar 6, 105 cm below surf</td>
<td>Ua-19562</td>
<td>8900 ± 85</td>
<td>8270 - 8130</td>
<td>6140 - 7910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9-76</td>
<td>Central C, pillar 11, 115 cm below surf</td>
<td>Ua-19561</td>
<td>8430 ± 80</td>
<td>7590 - 7470</td>
<td>6740 - 7310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* OxCal Program v3.5 (http://www.rlaha.ox.ac.uk/erau/06_ind.htm)

Pedogenic carbonate coatings (otherwise called cutans, pendants or rinds) on stones are widespread in soils of arid and semi-arid regions. They form as a result of pedogenesis through progressive calcium carbonate accumulation on coarse clasts. With rare exception (Amundson et al. 1997), secondary carbonate covers the undersides of stones. Up to now, the 14C method has repeatedly been used to date the cutans (Amundson et al. 1994 and references therein; Courty et al. 1994; Pendall et al. 1994; Wang et al. 1996; Monger et al. 1998; Pustovoytov 1998; 2002; Buck and Monger 1999; Gradzinsky et al. 2001). Although radiocarbon dating of the coatings involves potential problems (Amundson et al. 1994), increasing evidence suggests that the 14C age of secondary carbonate coatings on clasts in soils has chronological meaning and can be explained on the basis of the diffusion-reaction model (Cerling 1984; Cerling et al. 1989; Amundson et al. 1994, Pendall et al. 1994; Wang et al. 1996; Monger et al. 1998; Buck and Monger 1999; Pustovoytov 2002). Since the accumulation of pedogenic carbonate on stones mostly embraces the whole period of soil formation, 14C dates on the total coating carbonate show only an average age (Amundson et al. 1994 and references therein; Courty et al. 1994; Pendall et al. 1994; Wang et al. 1996; Monger et al. 1998; Pustovoytov 1998; 2002; Buck and Monger 1999, Gradzinsky et al. 2001). By contrast, radiocarbon dating of older laminae yields substantially higher ages which presumably approximate the true age of the carbonate accumulation and in many cases the soil itself (Amundson et al. 1994; Wang et al. 1996; Pustovoytov 1998, 2002). Theoretically, the possibility exists to determine the 14C age of the oldest microlayer in a pedogenic carbonate coating, which would be a high resolution minimum age of a soil or, at archaeological sites, a well-approximated minimum age of cultural layers or artificial earth and stone constructions. Today experience in dating pedogenic carbonate pendants at archaeological sites such as artificial earth or stone constructions by the radiocarbon method remains rather limited (Pustovoytov 2002).

Fig. 1. Position of the pedogenic carbonate coating sampled for 14C dating in the area L9-76.

a) a fragment of the wall near the pillar 11 (left) in the enclosure C, the stone with coating is marked by a rectangle.
b) the stone marked in (a); secondary carbonate with well-shaped "microstalactites" covers the underside of the stone. Scale in cm.
In the case of Göbekli Tepe, it has been attempted to obtain a well-estimated minimum age of the filling event at the end of the oldest known phase of the site ("Layer III" [Schmidt 2002]). At Göbekli Tepe most pillars and wall stones are covered by secondary carbonate laminations. Their thickness varies considerably depending on the depth below the soil surface and reaches maximum values of about 5 mm (in specific cases as thick as 10 mm) in the upper parts of Bk horizons. At slope positions (areas L9-66, L9-78, L9-79), the cutans at depths of the order of 0.5 m below the soil surface are at their thickest. On mound tops (areas L9-56, L9-80, L10-71) even stones at the soil surface are covered by thick carbonate pendants, whereas at slope base (areas L9-75, L9-76, L9-77, L9-87) the thickest cutans are located at 1-2 m depth owing to overlying by colluvial deposits ("Layer F" [Schmidt 2002]). Such a distribution of the Bk horizons along slopes can be explained by erosion at relatively late stages of the existence of the monument (Schmidt 2002).

For radiocarbon dating, pedogenic carbonate coatings on two wall stones in enclosures B and C were collected. Both stones were located in the upper parts of the walls where the coatings are best pronounced and thickest (Fig. 1). The stones with secondary carbonate laminations were dissected with a diamond saw. At the cross sections of the coatings several generations of microlamina could be seen (Fig. 2). Carbonate from the oldest, about 0.3 mm thick microlayer, which consists probably of numerous much thinner sublayers, was carefully bored out by a microdrill. During the sampling procedure, special attention was given to avoidance of possible contamination of the samples by primary limestone material from the clasts. The radiocarbon age of the samples was determined by AMS at the Department of Material Science of the University of Uppsala, Sweden. The 14C ages obtained (13C corrected) are presented in Table 1.

These dates suggest that accumulation of secondary carbonate on wall stones began not later than in the late PPNA to MPPNB and are in an excellent agreement with archaeological evidence: namely, that the fill of the enclosures is not younger than EPPNB (Schmidt 1999). Strictly speaking, the effects of "dead" carbon admixture and 14C contamination on the radiocarbon age of pedogenic carbonate coatings (Amundson et al. 1989; 1994; Pendall et al. 1994; Wang et al. 1996; Monger et al. 1998; Pustovoytov 2002) are for Göbekli Tepe unknown today. However, a perfect correspondence between both radiocarbon dates as well as between the dates and the age range based on archaeological information suggests that the role of these processes is most probably negligible.

The findings demonstrate the potential of radiocarbon dating of precision-sampled oldest microlamina of pedogenic carbonate coatings on stones as a minimum age determination technique. For its development further investigations are needed. In particular, some of the research priorities for the future at Göbekli Tepe should be: (1) to quantify possible spread in the radiocarbon ages of the oldest cutan microlayers on diverse stones within the same building structures; (2) to attempt to evaluate the role of complicating factors governing the 13C concentration in the coatings; and (3) improvement of sampling procedure in a way that would allow to reduce the thickness of microlayer to be analysed.

Acknowledgements: I thank Klaus Schmidt for interesting discussions and hospitality in the field. This study was supported by the German Research Council, the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (grant PTJ-GIN/03MIX1TU) and the German Archaeological Institute.
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Bead-Making Tools from LPPNB al-Basit, Jordan

Gary Rollefson

Whitman College (rollefgo@whitmar.edu)

Introduction

Analysis of material recovered from the large LPPNB settlement at al-Basit, near Petra in southern Jordan, demonstrated a focus on drill production, ostensibly for the manufacture of beads (Rollefson and Parker 2002). A similar concentration on drills has also been shown at 'Ayn Jammam, as recent analysis of tools excavated in 1995 and 1996 (e.g., Waheeb and Fino 1997) has shown (Rollefson n.d.; cf. Fino n.d.), and bead manufacture was also a major undertaking at Shaqarat Mazyad (Kaliszcz et al. 2002: 18), the pastoral hunting camp at Bawwab al-Ghazal (Rollefson et al. 1999: 3) and at the Jilat sites in eastern Jordan (Critchley n.d.).

The situation is also not clear at Bawwab, for here the drills have not been possible yet to examine the distribution, but beyond whatever blanks were used as drills, there are other tools that may have been necessary for the production of beads, including devices to hold the drilled material immobile while the hole was being bored. For many objects, this "device" may have simply been the hand or a couple of fingers. But some of the beads that have been found at al-Basit might be just such devices, which I tentatively call a "drill rig".

Table 1. Debitage blanks used for drills at three sites in Jordan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debitage type</th>
<th>al-Basit &quot;d&quot;</th>
<th>al-Basit &quot;s&quot;</th>
<th>Ayn Jammam</th>
<th>Bawwab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blade</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladelet</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burin spall</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The information for al-Basit drills is separated in Table 1 into the group of drills that derived from Fino's excavation of a domestic area (al-Basit "d"); cf. Fino 1998) and the drills collected in 2001 and 2002 from a backdirt pile associated with modern construction since that excavation (al-Basit "s"). The differences between the two collections clearly indicate the specialized nature of the area related to the backdirt. In this case, the almost equal ratios of blades and bladelets indicates that the "domestic" collection was associated with a broader range of drilled material, and that the specialized drill manufacturing area was directed for uses of a more restricted kind.

It has not been possible yet to examine the distribution of drills at 'Ayn Jammam, although this task is currently underway. Nevertheless, if the interpretation of the figures from "domestic" al-Basit is reliable (if vague), the use of blades as blanks for a relatively large percentage of the 'Ayn Jammam drills suggests areas of the site where an array of undertakings was practiced at the site, even if other areas (as yet undetected) might have been more specialized. It might be mentioned that Fino reports a total of 118 beads and pendants recovered from all phases at 'Ayn Jammam (Fino n.d.: Tables 10-22).

The situation is also not clear at Bawwab, for here the excavations are represented by probes of a limited extent, and most of the information comes from systematic surface collection. Even so, distributional studies have not been completed, but the number of beads that have been recovered (more than 300) indicates a major bead production industry at the site.

The Drills

Attention has already been drawn to the delicacy of many of the drills from al-Basit (Rollefson and Parker 2002: 23), bringing into question the range of uses that such fragile pieces could have served. Unfortunately the illustrated drills did not have the resolution to demonstrate the delicacy of the pieces, so a selection of the drills is shown on millimeter graph paper in Fig. 2, which also shows the irregular contours of some of the drill bits. Although stone beads with holes less a millimeter in diameter have been reported (e.g., Cooke 1998: 138-140), the tools in Fig. 2 have no visual evidence of use in a rotary manner. Once again, this suggests that these particular drills were manufactured en masse for use later, or that they were not used on resistant materials, or that they might have been used in ways not associated with rotary motion. On the other hand, many of the drills from al-Basit do show clear evidence of rotary damage.

Drill Rig

But beyond whatever blanks were used as drills, there are other tools that may have been necessary for the production of beads, including devices to hold the drilled material immobile while the hole was being bored. For many objects, this "device" may have simply been the hand or a couple of fingers. But some of the beads that have been found at Neolithic sites would undoubtedly have required some sort of clamp or other equipment, particularly small, thin beads. Three artifacts of soft limestone from al-Basit might be just such devices, which I tentatively call a "drill rig".

Fig. 1. Naviform bladelet core from al-Basit, made on a thin piece of tabular flint.

Drill blanks

Preliminary investigations show that the preference for drill blanks was for bladelets at al-Basit (Fig. 1) while burin spalls were the predominant choice at Bawwab al-Ghazal, and 'Ayn Jammam is situated between the two (Table 1). At the present time it is not possible to determine what factors might explain these differences, but possible reasons include the nature of what was being drilled at the three sites, the kinds of raw material available, and temporally-related technological traditions. Bawwab al-Ghazal appears to date principally to the end of the 7th millennium b.p., although there is certainly a strong presence of 6th millennium material there as well. On the other hand, the first impression of the chipped stone material from al-Basit indicates only LPPNB presence. 'Ayn Jammam was occupied mostly during the LPPNB period, although there is some suggestion that early 6th millennium habitation may also have occurred (including Pottery Neolithic strata; Fino n.d.: 22-26), and perhaps this contributes to the middle position of the drills from 'Ayn Jammam between the two ends of this range of variation, for drills were increasingly made on burin spalls in the Pottery Neolithic periods (e.g.,

Finlayson and Betts 1990; Betts 1986; Rollefson et al. 1992; cf. Cropper et al. n.d.).
Three subspherical objects were found at al-Basît, and all came from Fino’s excavations at the northern, uphill edge of the site not far from the backdirt pile. One is made of fine-grained sandstone, with measurements of 38 mm major diameter, 32 mm minor diameter and 29 mm in height. On one of the flatter surfaces is a small conically bored hole 5 mm in diameter, and diametrically opposed, on the other face, is a larger conical hole 11 mm in diameter at the surface. Neither of these holes continues more than half way through the depth of the object.

The second object is made of soft limestone and is almost perfectly circular, with a diameter of 23 mm. The height is 27 mm. The piece was drilled biconically, and each of the opposed holes is 13 mm wide at the surface, tapering down to 2 mm in diameter at the intersection. It can’t be ruled out that this is a small stone weight, although the small opening in the center of the piece argues against this assessment.

![Fig. 2. Drills made on bladelets shown against millimeter graph paper.](image)

The third rig is also of soft limestone, with a maximum diameter of 55 mm, a minor diameter of 51 mm, and a height of 38 mm. It also bears opposed conically bored holes, each 11 mm in width, although they do not penetrate through the entire sphere. On one surface there are also 11 shallow circular depressions arranged around the larger, deeper hole (Fig. 3). Each of these shallow holes is 4 mm in diameter and approximately 1-2 mm deep with a generally flat bottom.

While one of these pieces may have served as a weight (possibly as its last use), all three can be seen as being related to bead manufacture. The deep tapering holes in all three could have made use of the friction of the sides of the openings to hold beads in place while the drill was rotated. The rotation might have been back and forth (as with a bead drill), or in a ratchet manner, where force is applied down during the power stroke as the drill penetrates the bead; following the power stroke, pressure on the bead could be relieved, allowing the bead to rotate back to the position it was in before the power stroke began. The deep taper would permit one to drill beads of any diameter (up to the maximum dimension of the hole) and of relatively extended lengths (spacer beads, for example).
The eleven 4mm holes on the top of the third object (Fig. 3-a) might have served as templates for sizing beads, so that standardized diameters and thicknesses could be accurately gauged and controlled. Since the other two rigs don’t have these features, it would seem that the sizing function was a feature developed by one individual but was not seen as a necessary aspect of bead production for the group of bead makers at al-Basit.

**Closing Remarks**

By analogy with other settlements in the Levant, the presence of large numbers of drills at al-Basit argues for a bead industry despite the glaringly small number of ornaments that have been recovered. The fragility of the drills, as well as the rugosity of many of them, indicate that a large proportion might have been ineffective for making beads of hard raw materials. The sparse presence of stone and shell beads at al-Basit might be reflective of a major focus on the manufacture of beads of wood (as was demonstrated at Nahal Hemar; Werker 1988) or bird bone, for both of these kinds of material could have disappeared rapidly from the archaeological record.

Nevertheless, the density of bladelets, bladelet cores, and drills, many of which were evidently unused, clearly reflects an industrial focus that is relatively common in settlements in southern and eastern Jordan. Critchley identified a possible bead drill capstone at Wadi Jilat 25 (Critchley 2000: 23 and Fig. 20), and the “drill rigs” (if that is what they are) from al-Basit add more insight into this specialized industry. All these artifacts offer the possibility of examining standardization processes in more detail.

**Acknowledgments:** Funding for the field and laboratory research in Jordan was funded principally by a Senior Fellowship of the Council of American Overseas Research Centers (CAORC) and by a grant from the Louis B. Perry Scholarship at Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA. I would like to express my appreciation to Jack Davey and Josh Marlicich, Whitman College students, for their dedicated assistance in the research. I also would like to express my enthusiastic gratitude to Dr. Pierre Bikai (director of the American Center of Oriental Research [ACOR] in Amman), Dr. Patricia Bikai, and the rest of the ACOR staff for their invaluable assistance during the fellowship period. I would also like to thank Dr. Patricia Critchley for her insights during a discussion of these pieces.

**Bibliography**


Cropper D., Foley C.M. and Rollefson G.O. n.d. Umm Meshrat I and II: Two New Late Neolithic Sites Along the Wadi ath-Thamad, Jordan, Festschrift (as yet untitled) for Prof. Udo Worschech, in press).


Rollefson G. and Parker M.

---
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**A Short Note on Radiocarbon Dates from Bawwab al-Ghazal**

Gary Rollefson, Leslie Quintero, and Philip Wilke University of California-Riverside (rollefg@whitman.edu)

In 1998 a four-week testing season of excavations was conducted at Bawwab al-Ghazal, a temporary hunting camp in the Azraq Wetlands Reserve in Azraq Shishan, eastern Jordan. The camp is approximately one hectare in size and was the location of repeated visits of hunters and pastoralists (Rollefson et al. 1999). Four areas were prospected: an early Natufian camp with several in situ fireplaces (“Area B”), a hearth that appears to date to the Late PPNB (“Feature 1”), an area straddling a stone alignment more than five meters long (“Area A”), and a stratigraphic trench (“D1”) in a hole excavated earlier by the Jordanian Natural Resources Authority.

The site is of great importance because among the faunal remains are ovicaprid horn cores that are likely outside the natural habitat for either sheep or goats and therefore bear witness to the possible bead drill capstone at Wadi Jilat 25 (Critchley 2000). Nevertheless, the density of bladelets, bladelet cores, and drills, many of which were evidently unused, clearly reflects an industrial focus that is relatively common in settlements in southern and eastern Jordan. Critchley identified a possible bead drill capstone at Wadi Jilat 25 (Critchley 2000: 23 and Fig. 20), and the “drill rigs” (if that is what they are) from al-Basit add more insight into this specialized industry. All these artifacts offer the possibility of examining standardization processes in more detail.
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None of these samples appear to be directly associated with ovicaprid remains, although faunal analysis has still not been completed. Several other samples from are currently being processed for Areas E and A.
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Introduction

Göbekli Tepe is a monumental and enigmatic PPN site on top of a high limestone ridge northeast of the town of Şanlıurfa in Upper Mesopotamia. In the Neolithic period no comparable sites are known so far. As of 2002 eight campaigns of excavations have been undertaken by the Museum of Şanlıurfa and the German Archaeological Institute (Beile-Bohn et al. 1998; Hauptmann and Schmidt 2000; Schmidt 1995; 1997; 1998; 1999; 2000; 2001; 2002).

Fig. 1. Enclosure B (between the protecting roofs) and C (in the upper section of the picture) seen from the air during excavations 2002.

Approximately 1400 m² have been exposed. But it is only about 1.5 % of the site, which has a size of about 9 hectares with a maximum of 15m of deposits from the top of the mound to the bedrock. The youngest excavated layer is MPPNB, and it is clear from the surface finds that no post-PPN occupation exists all over the mound. The campaign in 2002 was concentrated on the circular or oval enclosures of the so far oldest Layer III, which is dated to the PPNA/EPPNB period (cf. Schmidt 2002: Fig.2, enclosure A and D; for dating problems see below).

The enclosures are made of concentric stone walls, connecting a circle of monolithic T-shaped pillars. The circles have a diameter from 10 to 30m. In the centre of each there is a pair of freestanding monolithic pillars, which are of similar shape but which tower above the pillars of the circle. The enclosures were completely buried in Neolithic times by a 3 to 5m big backfill (cf. Özdoğan and Özdoğan 1998), which preserves even the tallest pillars with a height of more than 5m, completely in their original position.

Fig. 2. Vertical photo of trench L9-87, north at top, central and northeastern section of the inner circle of enclosure C. The twin pillars (P35 and P37) in the centre of the enclosure were heavily destroyed during the time of Layer II or I.

The precise dating of the time when the backfill took place is difficult due to the character of the filling material. It is not sterile soil; it includes a lot of EPPNB and PPNA artefacts, animal bones and other finds typical for settlement debris, but it is not clear at all where all the cubic metres of debris had been taken from. A minimum of about 300 m³ (more likely 500m³) can be estimated for the
filling of one enclosure. Perhaps the general character of the enclosures after the "burial" was more than that of a large kurgan, a burial mound, than that of a building. It is possible that the function in fact was that of a burial monument, but this question has to be solved in future campaigns. At least it is clear that the backfill took place in Neolithic times, as there is the superposition of Layer II (see below). But the question, how long the enclosures were open - one day, one month, some years or some hundred years? - cannot be answered precisely by archaeological dating at the moment. Such dating mainly will date the genesis of the material of the filling, or the erection of the buildings of the superposed layer II, but not the process of the backfill. New pedological methods hopefully will help in that question (see contribution of K. Pustovoytov, this issue).

Fig. 5. Vertical Photo of trench L9-78, north at top, eastern section of enclosure D. The eastern pillar of the central twin pillars P18 and the surrounding pillars P19-22 and P30.

Enclosure C was excavated only in its southwestern section before 2002, when the central and eastern sections could be added (Figs. 1-3). The central twin pillars (P35 and P37) were heavily destroyed during the time of Layer II or I (Fig. 2). The enclosure was called "Wildschweinpfeilergâte" in the 2002 campaign, as wild pigs are dominate the reliefs (P12, 23, 26, 28) and sculptures found in that structure, which includes the pillars P 11-13, 23-29, and 35-37. The level of the floor has not yet been excavated.

Results

The preliminary list of the structures of Layer III is as follows: Enclosure A is the so called "Schlangenpf eilergebäude" ("Snake Building"), as snakes are the most common motif of the reliefs found in that structure. Enclosure A includes the pillars P 1-5 and 17. The central twin pillars are P1 and P2. Excavations took place mainly in 1996-1997. The general layout of enclosure A is not yet clear and the expected terrazzo floor still has not been unearthed.

Enclosure B has also been called "Fuchspf eilergebäude" ("Fox Building") (Fig. 1). It has a round shape with the pillars P 6-10, P 14-16. Pillars 9 and 10 are the central twin pillars with reliefs of life size foxes facing each other. A terrazzo floor was uncovered in the central part. Excavations took place in 1998-2001. In 2002 the top of the northern wall of that enclosure with pillar P34 (so far undecorated) was found in trench L9-67.
Göbekli Tepe 2002
Schematischer Plan der Baubefunde

Fig. 7. Göbekli Tepe 2002. Schematic plan of architecture.
Enclosure D was found in 2001, when the eastern section with pillars 18-22 was uncovered. In 2002 the southern section was unearthed with the new Pillars P30-33 and many new reliefs (Figs. 4-5). The enclosure clearly has an oval shape. Preliminarily it will be called 'Kranichpfeilergebäude' ('Crane Building'), but there are many other animals depicted, including snakes, foxes, onagers, a bull, and a gazelle. The central twin pillars are P18 and P31.

The area of the enclosures A-D was never reused for other building activities. The buildings of Layer I with rectangular rooms were erected around the empty space of the backfilled Layer III structures, but they don't cover them. The border between the area of Layer II, which dates to the PPNB period, and the open space with the buried PPNA structures was well defined. A terrace wall surrounded the space of the PPNA-structures (fig. 6). Only in some marginal areas of the enclosures of Layer III was there a clear superposition of Layer II buildings on top of the backfill of Layer III enclosures.

The youngest Layer I does not representing an occupation phase of the site. It includes several horizons of non-artefactual deposits caused by erosion of the upper slopes and redeposition below. This process clearly was caused by the agricultural use of the site during the Roman and Middle Age periods and modern times. This layer has a thickness of up to 3m at the lower parts of the southern slope, where the main excavation area is located and where Enclosure A and D were discovered.

The deep backfill of Layer III structures, which mostly are covered by the massive debris of Layer I, constitute a serious problem for the excavations. Baulks and freestanding monolithic pillars of 4 to 5m height cause a lot of stability problems and demand quite unusual excavation methods, such as using big cranes to build bridges across trenches instead of baulks, and roofs to protect the exposed structures over the year (Figs. 7 and 8).

**Discussion**

Despite the difficult and unusual situation in 2002, eleven new pillars of Layer III were discovered in original position, fitting in well the already known layout of Enclosures B, C and D (cf. Schmidt 2002: Fig. 2). Many new sculptures and reliefs were discovered, depicting mostly animals, often in life size. Including the results of the former seasons the animal reliefs include now following species: lions, bulls, foxes, boars, onagers, gazelles, cranes, ducks, snakes and quadruped reptiles. But besides the animals there are other motifs.

On the twin pillars of Enclosure D human arms are depicted, which resemble pillars of the Nevali Çori Type (Hauptmann 1993). But at Nevali Çori no additional motifs were found on the pillars. At Gobekli Tepe animals and pictographs also occur. Pillar 18, partially excavated in 2001, additionally has a fox and three pictographs, the twin pillar 31 found in 2002 and again only partially excavated, has one pictograph.

Most important is the relief on pillar 33, belonging to the southern section of enclosure D, which was exposed in trench L9-67. On the eastern face of the pillar's shaft there are two large birds with long necks and long legs, which resemble closely the bird of pillar 2 found in 1997 below a bull and fox. These birds can be identified more as cranes than storks. But the two cranes of pillar 33 are not the only decoration. They are standing in front of a lake or a river, which is clearly shown by a series of horizontal ordered wavy lines which no doubt indicate water. Above the water and between the cranes there are double-T-shaped picto-
graphs represented. This scenario is unique at Göbekli Tepe for the moment.

What is the meaning of all these animals and signs? Snakes are dangerous, as are wild boars and bulls, but what about the foxes, ducks, and cranes? The little plaquettes from Jerf, Tell Qaramel and Göbekli Tepe seem to have no other function than to transmit the incised signs. Is there a readable system of pictographs in the PPN? If it so, we probably never can decipher it. But the iconographic comparisons are taking on the semblance of a chain, connecting the sites of the PPN period in Upper Mesopotamia.

Göbekli Tepe is quite a large site, but it is not a central settlement, not a "town" surrounded by small villages. Up to now there are no traces of daily life at the site, and Göbekli clearly had a function different from a central settlement. It seems to be a place where people, coming from the villages around, gathered for special occasions. People coming from Jerf el Ahmar met people coming from Nevali Çori and people from Nemrik, and so on. So far we don't know what they actually did at the mountain, but it should have been something important, for the production and erection of monumental pillars means a lot of work. And it seems obvious, that such meetings would have been an excellent occasion for exchange, an exchange of goods and ideas.

We are getting a picture which perhaps will be very helpful to understand the Neolithisation of the Near East. It is not diffusionistic in the old sense, but it is a picture far away from separated islands of local developments.

Acknowledgements: The excavations have been gratefully supported by ArchaeNova e.V. and 0. Bar-Yosef and F. Valla (eds.), Between the Rivers and over the Mountains: The Levant: 161-172. Ann Arbor, International Monographs in Prehistory.

1997 "News from the Hilly Flanks". Zum Forschungsstand des obermesopotamischen Frühneolithikums, Archäologisches Nachrichtenblatt 21/1: 70-79.

From layer I in trench L9-85 a plaquette made of green stone was found. One surface is plain, but the other has engravings with motifs including a snake, an arrow, and maybe a bird. The similarity to plaquettes found at Jerf el-Ahmar (Stordeur et al. 1996: Fig. 2) and Tell Qaramel (Mazurowski 2001: Fig. 8.2) is striking, and there is no doubt the object derived from Layer III. Even from the southern Levant similar pieces are known, e.g. from Salibiya I (Crabtree et al. 1991: 163 and Fig. 2), Zahrat adh-Dhra 2 (Edwards et al. 2002: Fig. 5) and Netiv Hagdud (Gopher 1997: 171 and Fig. 5.18).
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Fig. 10. Pillar 33, reliefs of two cranes, double-T-pictographs and water, on top probably a duck.
Fig. 1. View of terraces WZ 140 (lower left) and WZ 135 (upper right), looking toward East (photo by E. Banning).

Fig. 2. View of the cobbled floor in Area N41 (photo by S. Rhodes).

The chipped stone assemblage from WZ 135 is characterized by several diagnostic Late Neolithic tool types, while including some materials of earlier periods. The Late Neolithic tool types include sickle elements with denticulated edges, ground axes/adzes/chisels, awls, and a bifacially flaked knife, in descending order of frequency. The cutting edges of sickle elements have a rectangular shape formed by steep invasive retouch. One possible transversal arrowhead is very tiny but clearly has retouch on both sides that converges at the proximal end. The distal end is a cutting edge with some flaking scars that might be the result of use. Extensive flaking of some axes might represent the rejuvenation or remodification of the cutting edge.

The landowner says that he removed most significant results came from the most centrally placed excavation units in site WZ 135. Area M41 encountered two large, basalt grinding stones and many Neolithic artifacts, including adzes and sickle elements, but it and neighbouring L42 and M40 appeared to be disturbed by ancient alluvial gullying that probably removed original Neolithic material and deposited other Neolithic artifacts from a short distance upslope along with alluvial gravels.

Area N41 encountered a rectangular, cobbled-paved floor, with a circular feature at one corner (Fig. 2). The cobbled floor is similar in many respects to cobbled floors in two structures from Late Neolithic Tabaqat al-Bûma (WZ 200), except that the enclosing walls appear to be missing, perhaps robbed out, aprt from some very large stones.

Areas P41 and P40 uncovered several superimposed outdoor surfaces covered with more than 90 flat-lying artifacts, including denticulated sickle blades, a basalt quern, many Late Neolithic sherds, and caprid and bovid bone fragments. The artifacts were piece-plotted in three dimensions. One equally-dense surface covered with 101 Late Neolithic artifacts lay directly below the first surface, and these were also piece-plotted.

Later (probably Byzantine-era) field walls crossed Areas P41 and M40, and probably employed stones robbed out of Neolithic structures. The landowner says that he removed many large stones and outcropping walls by bulldozer when preparing his olive grove about 20 years ago. Aerial photographs of 1978 show traces of what appear to be walls and stone hut structures on the surface of the site, probably the features that the bulldozer removed.

At the lower terrace, site WZ 140, most of the Neolithic material came from two deep soundings in Areas J15 and K15. These are low on the slope and the Areas closest to the test probe G13 that produced a fair number of Neolithic artifacts during the survey of 2000. Most of the test units in WZ 140 produced Neolithic artifacts mixed with Epipaleolithic or later artifacts in colluvium that probably derived from the upper terrace at WZ 135, or from an ancient extension of that terrace to the west, which erosion has now cut away.
flint. The entire dorsal surface is covered by limestone cortex, and the tool edge shows flat retouch. It is generally similar to cortical scrapers found at Tabaqat al-Bûma (WZ 200) (Banning et al. 1996:42).

Debitage on both terraces mainly consists of flakes of various form and size. The irregular flake morphology may have resulted from the use of amorphous cores, which are common in the assemblage. Few blades are present, but they are characterized by unidirectional scar pattern on the dorsal face and a fairly rough appearance. Several unidirectional blade cores show that minimal core preparation was employed for blade production. Primary and secondary elements of debitage indicate several sources of flint raw materials, from water-worn flint cobbles to flint nodules that probably come from one of the outcrops of chalk and limestone about 500 m northwest of the site. The latter source provides flint nodules of dark brown to gray colour, which were one of the major flint materials of the assemblage, and a number of large, amorphous flakes are made on this flint. These flakes often show various types of retouch from small continuous retouch to rough denticulations, indicating that they were used for a variety of purposes, probably as expedient tools.

With the exceptions of later (mainly Roman-Byzantine) material in upper deposits, the pottery from WZ 135 was Late Neolithic and handmade, with some evidence for coil construction. The fabrics are usually soft, grey-brown, yellow or salmon-pink in colour, and with poorly sorted angular inclusions of chert, limestone, and other minerals. Many sherds show voids and clear evidence for fibrous temper, even though grit temper is more common. Some sherds appear not to have any intentional temper at all, but instead networks of fine internal cracks. Many others have dark or yellow cores and other evidence for poor firing. On some sherds, crazing or laminar spalling is evident. Although there are some better-made exceptions, in general, the pottery is rather crudely constructed and poorly fired, although others probably do not occur at that site.

The assemblage is very fragmented, but forms appear to include small cups and bowls and small jars. A few larger, thicker pieces could be tabun fragments. Bases are mainly flat or disk bases, and several bases show pebble impressions on the bottom, similar to examples from Tel Dover and 'Ain Rahub, but not found at Tabaqat al-Bûma. Handles include loop handles with oval sections and some knobs and slight ledge handles. A fairly common surface treatment is combing. Generally this is a very rough combing, apparently to roughen the surface rather than to create a particular pattern. One sherd shows such roughening on both interior and exterior surfaces. A small but significant proportion of sherds show traces of red slip or paint. Rarer surface treatments include slipping and burnishing ("dark-faced burnished ware").

The 2002 excavations recovered one fragment of a pierced ceramic disk, probably made from a body sherd, with a biconically drilled hole. This is similar to several examples that occurred at Tabaqat al-Bûma.

The fauna at WZ 135 included Bos sp. (cow or aurochs), Sus scrofa (pig or wild boar), and Ovis sp./Capra sp. (sheep/goat). The bones of a large rodent (narmot or large ground squirrel) and of tortoise (probably Testudo graeca) also occurred. WZ 140 also yielded the remains of Bos sp., Sus sp., and Ovis sp./Capra sp., in addition to the bones of Cervus sp. (deer) and Gazella sp. (gazelle).

Now that the excavations at WZ 135 have begun to pin down the location of preserved occupation traces on the terrace, including a well-preserved cobble floor and outdoor surfaces with dense Neolithic debris, we anticipate that future excavations will uncover at least one Late Neolithic structure, while comparison of the assemblage with that of Tabaqat al-Bûma (Banning et al. 1994; 1996) and other near-contemporary sites will help us understand social and economic interaction in Wadi Qzilab specifically and in the region of the northern Jordan Valley more generally.
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Introduction
The three-year investigation of Ayn Abu Nukhayla, situated in the modern hyper-arid setting of Wadi Rum of southern Jordan, has furnished an understanding of Middle PPNB ard land adaptation (Fig. 1; Henry et al. 1999; Henry et al. 2000). This appears to have involved a mixed economy of foraging, herding, and horticulture integrated within a pattern of transhumance. The seasonal partitioning of resources in this mountainous region of tightly packed, elevationally-zoned environments extends well back into the Pleistocene from middle Holocene and even historic

---

Fig. 1. Map of area showing site and local physiographic features.

Bedouin times (Henry 1994; 1995; Henry et al. 2002), but until now, the strategy followed during the Early Neolithic has not been known.
The intensive settlement of the site is evidenced by ca. 1,200m² of exposed wall stones representing mostly curvilinear, semi-subterranean structures arranged in a honeycomb plan (Figs. 2-4). The settlement, confined to a PPNB occupation, is centered on 8.5kbp (uncalibrated). It is bracketed within a relative brief interval of two to three centuries that appears to have been triggered by a moist pulse. A wide range of paleo-environmental data from the site’s deposit and from sediments of a nearby qa’ (mudflat) indicate a steppe setting and moisture levels (200-300mm annually) significantly elevated over the modern 0-50mm annual average. But even under this moister setting, conditions would nevertheless have provided too little direct rainfall to support cereal cultivation. Seemingly then, this information is at odds with artifactual (abundant milling stones, blades with silica polish) and ecofactual (pollen, phytoliths) evidence indicative of cereal cultivation.

This apparent paradox can be resolved by a model in which cereal cultivation was supported by upland run-off rather than direct rainfall. Following the model, farming may have been possible on moist ground associated with the seasonal ponding of the qa’ locatec near the site. Moreover, the cultivation of cereal, in turn, may have provided chaff subsidies to support early ovicaprid herding in this pasture poor area.

Research Results
Since the last excavation season in May-June 2001, work has focused on the generation, integration, interpretation, and reporting of a wide range of cultural, economic, and climatic-environmental data. Plans are also being made for continued work at the site in 2004-2005. Preliminary analysis has been completed for a wide range of data that are briefly described below.

Architecture, Occupation Floors and Phases
Twelve structural loci (stone lined pit-houses) were defined in two excavation blocks. Within the fills of the structures, occupational floors have been identified on the basis of the presence of formal features (stone pavements, compacted earthen floors), large basin querns, and peaks in densities of ground stone, chipped stone, and worked bone (Figs. 5-6). While some floors are obviously associated with formal features, others are linked only to high densities of certain artifact categories. These differences likely stem from differences in the intensities and perhaps activities associated with specific floors.

Chronometry
Twelve 14C dates from different loci and levels across the two excavation blocks were analyzed following the procedure developed by Hietala (1989:284-85) for
determining the degree of contemporaneity and duration from multiple 14C dates. The analysis indicates a best common date of 8,509+64 with an estimated duration of occupation from 180-260 years. Moreover, the p-value of .07 for testing contemporaneity suggests that there is no significant difference between the dates. Additionally, two charcoal samples from a probe and sounding in the qa' yielded dates of 7,070 (238cm; Beta 134455) and 4,830+185-180 (160-170 cm; A-12281), indicating a nearly constant rate of deposition (1 cm/ 29 years) for at least the last 7,000 radiocarbon years. Five additional samples from the sounding are presently being assayed. The recovery of charcoal from the qa' sediments promises a high resolution chronology for the depositional sequence.

Fig. 5. Image of occupation floor (Levels 7-8) in Locus 20; note the concentrations of artifacts and features.

Artifacts

The principal artifactual data-sets include: chipped stone (24,900 specimens), ground stone (324 specimens), worked bone (114) and ornamental shell (740). Preliminary analysis (D. Henry, S. Kadowaki, A. Nowell) of the chipped stone assemblage shows: retouched blades and projectile points to dominate the tool-kit, Amuq points to account for almost all of the point class (>91% of the identifiable points), an emphasis on naviform core technique, and on-site lithic production involving primary through end-of-stream reduction (Fig. 7). More detailed lithic studies involving microscopic analysis of polish, striae, and other edge-wear (M. Kay, U. of Arkansas) show very high use wear (>98% of unretouched blades and formal tools) of blades with piercing, slicing, and burin use as dominant activities. About 14% of blades show silica polish, and organic residues have been observed on a few specimens. Raw material analysis shows most (if not all) of the cherts were obtained from 50-60km away on the Ma'in Plateau. An especially significant source was the pink-purple chert from the Amman Silicified Limestone formation. Comparison of visual attributes, including signatures of ultra-violet fluorescence, closely matches those described for specimens from the pink chert quarries in the Amman Silicified Limestone formation near 'Ain Ghazal (Quintero 1996). This is important for it confirms another source of the pink chert beyond that identified in northern Jordan.

Fig. 6. Image of occupation floor (Levels 8-9) in Locus 20; note the cobble pavement, grinding slabs and quern, and rock lined hearth.

Fig. 7. Lithic artifacts from Ayn Abu Nakhayla. Amuq points (A-C), notch & denticulate (D & G), sickle blades (E, F, & H), perforator (I), ridge blades (J & K), naviform core (L).

The groundstone study (S. Kadowaki) identified tool types and manufacturing technology in addition to examining the spatial distribution of food processing activities. The worked bone and ornamental shell (D. Bar-Yosef) studies have identified tool types and species, respectively. The treatment and species composition of the shell assemblage closely resemble that of other southern Levantine PPNB assemblages from Sinai and the Negev.

Ecofacts

The principal ecofactual data-sets include: the bone assemblage (R. Dean), pollen (L. Scott-Cummings, A. Emery-Barbier), phytoliths (L. Scott-Cummings, R. Albert), spherulites (L. Scott-Cummings, R. Albert), diatoms (B. Winsborough), macrobotanics and charred wood (J. McCorrison and H. Ekstrom), the geochemistry of sediment cores (H. Brauer), micro-morphological sediment columns (T. Alpert) and geomorphic evidence of the site and area (C. Cordova).

The faunal remains consist of 2,596 NISP of which 89% are ungulates. Of these that can be identified to at least the level of sub-family (782), 90.5% are represented by ovicaprids. For those few specimens that could be identified as to species within the ovicaprids, ibex (3), domestic or wild true goats (2), and domestic or wild sheep (16) were present. Although osteological evidence was not diagnostic of domesticated sheep or goat, the presence of sheep/goat outside of their natural habitats, the very high rate of ovicaprid exploitation, and the presence of high densities of fecal spherulites (denoting dung) in the site’s deposits indicate that sheep/goat were being herded.

Microbotanic remains (pollen, phytoliths, diatoms) provided exceptionally rich assemblages from both the site deposits and the sediments of the nearby qa'. Although the
recovery of macrobotanic remains of seeds was disappointing. charred wood identification has yielded important results. When the macrobotanic evidence is combined with the on-site and off-site geoarchaeological and geomorphic data, the paleo-climatic setting of the area can be rather precisely reconstructed. In the vicinity of Ayn Abu Nukhayla, the Wadi Rum appears to have been covered in steppe vegetation composed mostly of sage, but surface water, most likely from the nearby spring, supported isolated stands of willows and sedges.

Spatial Analysis
We are in the process of analyzing artifact (chipped stone, groundstone, worked bone, shell) and ecofact distributions (phytoliths, spherulites) within and between loci for spatial patterns in an effort to trace how the occupants of the structures organized their activities. Indirectly, this should provide insights on the community’s social and household organization.
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Background
Between June 2 and July 20, 2002, co-principal investigators I. Kuijt and B. Finlayson led excavations at the Pre-Pottery and Pottery Neolithic settlement of Dhra’, Jordan. This project is focused on understanding the transition from foraging to farming along the Dead Sea Basin and the Kerak Plateau. Excavations at Dhra’ seek to document the social, economic, and technological developments of this period from the perspective of a single, thoroughly researched case study. Previous research by Raikes (1980) and Bennett (1980) in the late 1970s, Kuijt and Mahasneh in the mid-1990s (Kuijt 1994, 2001; Kuijt and Mahasneh 1998), and Finlayson and Kuijt (Finlayson and Kuijt 2002; Finlayson et al. n.d.), Goodale and Smith 2001; Goodale *et al.* 2002; Kuijt and Finlayson 2002), illustrate that there are two major periods of occupation represented at Dhra’: the Pottery Neolithic and Pre-Pottery Neolithic A. In 2002 excavations concentrated on three major goals: further defining the physical extent of the Pottery Neolithic and PPNA occupation, recovering representative cultural materials from the Pottery Neolithic component of the settlement, and exposing a large horizontal area around the well-preserved PPNA mud and stone structures identified in 1994 and 2001. As with previous years the excavations in 2002 relied on the excavation of 1 x 1m units in stratigraphic layers, with all sediments screened through 2 mm mesh.

Field research in 2002 was initially directed to improving our understanding of the spatial organization of the PPNA occupation around the well-preserved mud and stone structures previously identified in Area 1. This involved opening four 5 x 5m excavation units to the east of the mud structure (Fig. 1). Based upon the limited amount of Pottery Neolithic remains uncovered in the two 5 x 5m excavation units in 2001, it was anticipated that only limited Pottery Neolithic remains would be encountered in the four units to be excavated in 2002. It was, therefore, somewhat surprising that we encountered extensive Pottery Neolithic remains in three of the four 5 x 5m units, including intact well-preserved features, buildings, and material culture. In brief, these remains date to the Jericho IX phase of the Pottery Neolithic period. While detailed analysis of the features and materials recovered from these excavations is currently being undertaken, this report outlines some of the important results of the 2002 excavation season with greater detail being provided elsewhere (see Finlayson *et al.* n.d.).

I) Jericho IX Neolithic Occupation at Dhra’
One of the major goals of the 2002 excavations was the recovery of representative Pottery Neolithic material culture, architecture, and features from Dhra’ to understand better the nature of the Pottery Neolithic occupation of area east of the Dead Sea. During excavations portions of several Pottery Neolithic buildings and features were identified. These include the remains of two structures; two large pit features filled with fire-cracked rock, ash, and broken pottery; a large reused stone bin feature; and the remains of three small plastered lined pits.

Plaster Features
Excavations uncovered the remains of three small (30 cm deep and c. 30 x 30 cm wide) plastered pit features (Fig. 1). These ditched features were excavated into the underlying PPNA deposits and lined with white plaster. It appears that through use the white plaster was discolored to a light pink color through the use of fire. These features were located in a relatively small area, and in the case of Feature 18, Pottery Neolithic ceramics were recovered from the fill deposits of the feature.

Large Pit Features
Remains of two large pit features were also recovered. Feature 21 was 60 cm deep and c. 2.5 m wide. It was filled with fire-cracked rock, ash, and a remarkable amount of ceramic and lithic materials. The pit, with clearly defined edges, was cut into earlier PPNA deposits. Feature 22, located in the western half of two 5 x 5 m units, also appears to be from the Pottery Neolithic period.

Buildings
In addition to several wall lines that were visible on the surface of the site, the remains of two Pottery Neolithic buildings were identified during excavation. The first of these, Feature 15, consisted of several stone walls made of angular stone. These walls were made by placing large stones along the outer edges of the wall and filling the inside with rubble. Intact walls had between two and three courses of stones up to 20 cm high and were 40 cm wide.
Fig. 1. Plan view of 2002 excavation areas at Dhra' Jericho IX architecture and features.

**Jericho IX architecture and features, Dhra', Jordan, 2002**

- Intact PPNA buildings and features.
- No preserved Jericho IX buildings or features.

Fig. 2. Plan view of 2002 excavation areas at Dhra'; PPNA architecture and features.

**Pre-Pottery Neolithic A architecture and features, Dhra', Jordan, 2002**

- Intact PPNA deposits.
- Unclear PPNA Feature.
- Well preserved Jericho IX buildings and/or features - PPNA levels not excavated in 2002.
While only partially preserved, Feature 15 defined a right angle corner of a structure defined by the pit and bin features next to the structures.

Excavation of a 2 x 2 m unit focused on the construction of one of several Pottery Neolithic structures visible in the central areas of the site. These units were placed over a well-preserved wall to better understand the height of architecture and the nature of associated cultural materials. The walls of this structure were constructed of large well-placed stones creating an outer wall face with the core filled with rubble. Excavations in this area uncovered the remains of a small white plaster feature partially defined by three upright stones to the side. Beneath this feature were the remains of several Pottery Neolithic ceramic vessels of the Jericho IX period. Assuming that this is representative of other wall systems visible on the surface, the results from this area indicate that the Pottery Neolithic occupation deposits are more substantial along the northern area of the settlement of Dhra’ than originally anticipated.

**Stone / Plaster Bin Feature**

One of the other major Pottery Neolithic features excavated during the 2002 season is a single large stone bin feature. Feature 5 was originally made by cutting into the earlier PPNA deposits and lining the cut with white plaster similar to that of the three small plaster pit features described above. It appears that after some period of use, the people living in this location decided to destroy the plaster structure by caving in the upper portions of the walls and filling the plaster pit with stones so that large stones lined the bottom and sides of the pit. While the possible function(s) of these two features remains unclear, it appears that these different types of features were used for different things. Broken pottery was recovered from inside of the upper lower stages of this feature.

**Recovered Material Culture**

Excavations in 2002 resulted in the recovery of numerous ceramic and lithic items from the earliest stages of the Pottery Neolithic. In some cases, such as the large pit filled with fire-cracked rock (Feature 21), these objects were recovered in primary context and associated only with other Pottery Neolithic materials. In other cases, especially in upper areas, Pre-Pottery Neolithic A period lithics were recovered with Pottery Neolithic lithics and ceramics. This is unquestionably related to the widespread practices in the Pottery Neolithic of digging large pits.

**Pottery**

The pottery recovered from the 2002 excavation season demonstrates clear affinities to other Jericho IX period sites as outlined by Garfinkel (1999). Vessel forms include hole-mouth jars, large pithoi, platters, jugs, and craters. The ceramics are well made, fired at a relatively high temperature, and are often painted. Tempers appear to be limited to minerals, including stone and other ceramic materials. Decoration consists of buff-cream wash, burning, and the use of red painting in geometric patterns. While analysis is still in progress, it is already clear that this is a relatively rich ceramic assemblage with portions of at least 50 vessels (based on rim sherds) identified in the 2002 season. Diagnostic sherds were recovered from a wide range of contexts, although in many cases the largest and best-preserved collections were recovered from the inside of large pit features. Only two incised ceramic fragments were recovered out of several hundred items.

**Lithics**

The 2002 season produced an extensive assemblage of lithic tools and debitage from the Pottery Neolithic. Recovered tools include denticulated sickle blades, a wide range of Pottery Neolithic projectile points of different sizes, including Nizzanim, HarParsa, and Transverse projectile point types, backed blades, and borer. Core reduction appears to have focused on flake and blade cores, with many cores being multi-platform. As with the pottery, these materials clearly date to the earliest stages of the Pottery Neolithic period, and more specifically, illustrate close affinity with Jericho IX materials.

**II) Pre-Pottery Neolithic A Period Occupation at Dhra’**

As noted elsewhere (Kuijt and Finlayson 2002), test excavations conducted in 2001 indicate that the PPNA occupation of Dhra’ was more extensive than previously understood, and it is now believed to cover at least 100 x 65 m, or an area of approximately 6,500 m². Excavation in 2002 revealed that the lower original structure was constructed by building a structure to 9,835 ± 65 bp (ISGS-A0248). Thus, the building appears to have been constructed at some point around the last occupation phase before construction) at 9,913 ± 59 m, or an area of at least 60 cm, and walls. The lower original structure was constructed by building a mud wall and placing several stones in the center of this structure. At this time it appeared that the walls of this structure were preserved to a height of at least 60 cm, in 2002 of the top 10 cm of Area 5, a small 1 x 1 m unit 30 m to east of the known area of the site, uncovered more PPNA materials. While it is not clear how deep the deposits are in Area 5, the results of this sounding highlight once again that the PPNA occupation at Dhra’ was quite extensive. During the 2002 excavation season a small sounding in Area 6 was placed farther to the east of Area 5. This 1 x 1 m probe produced a considerable amount of debitage, including several cores. Although no diagnostic tools were recovered, these lithic materials are very similar to those recovered from PPNA the same area. Due to the unanticipated richness of the Jericho IX materials encountered in Area 1, it was only possible to excavate extensive PPNA remains in two 5 x 5 m units during the 2002 season.

**Feature 1: PPNA Mud Structure 4**

The 2002 excavation season also concentrated on increasing our understanding of Structure 4, the mud structure dating to the PPNA period. Focusing on the western half of the unit and structure, in the 2002 season excavations were continued along the outer edge of the structure as well as along the inner wall to define the inside face of the building. As noted elsewhere (Finlasyon et al. n.d.; Kuijt and Finlayson 2002), the size of the structure was originally defined by tracing a highly visible upper surface of mud that outlined the remains of the wall of the structure. At this time it appeared that the walls of this structure were preserved to a height of at least 60 cm, and the excavation of the western half of the structure revealed the presence of five up-right stones. Excavations in 2002 revealed that Structure 4 is actually made up of two buildings: a larger original mud building with associated uprights and a second smaller mud rebuild above the original structure.

The lower original structure was constructed by building a mud wall and placing several stones in the center of this building. The wall appears to have been quite thin. The visible upper surface of mud that outlined the remains of the structure were linked to the collapse of the floor, roof and walls.

Two radiocarbon samples date the initial construction (or the last occupation phase before construction) at 9,913 ± 59 bp (ISGS-A0246), and the abandonment of the mud-brick structure to 9,835 ± 65 bp (ISGS-A0248). Thus, the building appears to have been constructed at some point around 11,300-11,200 BP and abandoned between 11,260-11,175 BP, with the building being used for no more than a hundred years. After this point it appears that villagers build a
second mud structure above the original building. This structure appears to have been slightly smaller than the original building, was placed over top of the first building, and had a thicker mud wall than the original building. While no floor is preserved with this structure, excavations uncovered several large stones placed in the corner of the building. Additional research in the 2003 field season will be required to understand the taphonomic history of this structure better.

**Feature 13: PPNA Upright Stone Bin and Food Processing Features**

The 2002 excavation season also uncovered extensive remains of what appears to be a series of extramural food processing features. This includes a cooking feature outlined with large upright stones, some of which are reused grinding slabs, with an internal pit feature; two other features associated with large concentrations of fire-cracked rock; and discrete clusters of complete hand stones, pestles, and a grooved basalt shaft straightener. All of these features and objects were uncovered within a single 5 x 5 m excavation unit. In light of the spatial proximity of these materials and the fact that almost all of the ground store objects were complete, it appears that this area was used for food processing and generalized domestic tasks.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Excavations at Dhra' have provided new insights into the Pre-Pottery and Pottery Neolithic occupation along the Dead Sea. First, the 2002 archaeological excavations at Dhra' uncovered an extensive Pottery Neolithic Jericho IX occupation. While previous research recovered limited amounts of Pottery Neolithic materials, the 2002 season exposed well-preserved architecture, pottery materials, charcoal, and lithic tools. The Pottery Neolithic period of the Dead Sea region in general, and that of the Jericho IX occupation in particular, are poorly understood by researchers. Research at Dhra' continues to provide important new perspectives on the transition from the Pre-Pottery to Pottery Neolithic period.

Second, the excavations at Dhra' provide the opportunity to understand the nature of early Pre-Pottery Neolithic occupations in marginal environmental zones. With the archaeological research at a number of recently excavated settlements to the south of the Dead Sea, including the sites of 'Ain Darat, Wadi Faynan 16, and Zahrat edh-Dhra' 2 (c.f. Edwards et al. 2001; Finlayson et al. 2000; Gopher 1995; 1996; Mithen et al. 2000; Sayej 2001; 2002) the excavations at Dhra' challenge researchers to rethink our appreciation of regional settlement practices in the Early Neolithic. As part of this reappraisal, the absence of Natufian occupation at Dhra' allows researchers to characterize a major PPNA occupation without derived Natufian materials. Three excavation seasons (1994, 2001, and 2002) have produced no evidence for Natufian or Pre-Pottery Neolithic B period occupations at Dhra'. The absence of cultural materials from these other periods is important, for it will ensure no mixing from the preceding and following periods.

Third, excavations in 2001 and 2002 echoes previously published interpretations of PPNA lithic technology at Dhra' (see also Finlayson et al. n.d.; Goodale et al. 2002; Kuijt 2001; Kuijt and Mahasneh 1998) with the overwhelming majority of tools being el-Khiam points and borers, both produced from single-platform pyramidal cores, in combination with heavy wood working tools. The 2001 archaeological excavations have also provided us with significant new information related to the occupation at Dhra'. Excavations in Area 6 highlight that the PPNA occupation at Dhra' was considerably larger than previously recognized. Furthermore, the PPNA occupation of Dhra' was complex, being characterized by the construction of multiple stone and mud-brick structures, and a density of cultural materials that mirrors the situation at the major PPNA villages of Netiv Hagdud and Jericho. Analysis of the 2001 field season materials will provide a more detailed understanding of the presence, spatial distribution, and depositional context of recovered cultural materials. On-going analyses includes PPNA lithic technology (N. Goedale), Pottery Neolithic lithic technology (S. Kadowaki), lithic use-wear analysis (S. Smith), stratigraphy (S. Dennis), paleobotanical remains (R. Neef), archaeozoology (C. Becker and J. Peters), micromorphology (T. Aspin) and landscape context (L. Maher). This, combined with the continuing analysis of recovered lithic materials, illustrates that the PPNA settlement at Dhra' was one of a limited number of relatively large sedentary village settlements. Thus, the field research at Dhra' will provide a unique database which researchers can use to improve interpretations of the important social and economic transitions from foraging to farming in the southern Levant at the end of the Pleistocene.
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A two-day conference was held 19-20 November, 2002, at the University of Toronto in Toronto, Canada. Organized by Ted Banning and Michael Chazan of the University of Toronto, the conference made significant contributions to the topic of Domesticating Space: Landscape and Site Structure in the Prehistoric Near East. An international group of scholars presented on a range of research dealing with landscape and "space", including food-processing activities, settlement aggregation, burial monuments, architectural space syntax, ritual spaces, and symbolic storage. The bulk of the 23 papers focused on the Neolithic cultures of the Levant, although the Kebaran, Natufian, Chalcolithic, and Early Bronze Age were also represented. The geographic scope of the conference was widened by a single paper discussing settlement patterns in the Konya Basin in Anatolia.

The conference opened with an introduction and welcoming words from Michael Chazan. Following this, Henry Schwartz addressed the issue of changing rainfall patterns in the Neolithic period as indicated by stable oxygen isotopes in goat teeth. He proposed the disappearance of summer rains in the PPNB period and a resultant impact on agricultural societies. The first session was led by Andrew Moore dealt with intra-site activity organization under the theme of Around the House: Indoor and Outdoor Use of Space. Anna Belfer-Cohen and Nigel Goring-Morris presented on the spatial structure of architectural remains in the Natufian period. By showing some symbolic/ritual features located within domestic spaces, they suggested that the symbolic use of habitation areas was performed early on in the Natufian.

Seiji Kadowaki presented on the use of domestic spaces at the PPNB site of 'Ayn Abu Nukhayla. The spatial delimitation of household units was proposed for beehive-structured buildings at the site based on the spatial analysis of groundstone tools. In contrast to the preceding two papers, which focused on the use of indoor space, Zeidan Kafafi directed attention to outdoor activities showing several examples from 'Ain Ghazal. The discussion after these presentations raised the question of the symbolic/ritual usage of domestic spaces. It may sound contradictory to the idea that "domestic" and "ritual" spaces are dichotomous and exclusive, but several comments in the discussion suggested that the spatial location of domestic activities, such as food grinding, can be determined by the symbolic perception of spaces for performed tasks.

The spatial framework was broadened in the second session of the day with the theme of Taming the Landscape and Structuring the Region, which was led by Ezra Zabarow.

The second day of the conference opened with a session titled Architectural Structure and Social Structure, chaired by Zeidan Kafafi. Ted Banning presented the first paper, in which he explored the changes in the structure of domestic space from the Epipalaeolithic to the Bronze Age using space syntax analysis. This type of analysis grants access to the underlying structure of spatial organization, including changes in control of property, nature of households, and social organization. Hamoudi Khallaj followed with an update from his latest excavations at Eynan. In his presentation he explored aspects of the spatial organization of the final Natufian. Stefan Koziolowski broadened the picture of PPNA spatial organization with data from the M'lefaat and Nemrik sites in Northern Iraq. One important feature of these sites is the presence of extensive clay or crushed stone external surfaces.

Fig. 1. Conference participants listen to discussion. Left to right, St. John Simpson, Jane Peterson, Mohammad Najjar, Zeidan Kafafi, Stefan Koziolowski.
Mohammad Najjar gave an update on the PPNB Ghwair I site in Southern Jordan where he recently completed rescue excavations in order to prevent further damage to the site by runoff water. This excavation shed further light on a set of stairs that he now believes was a main access pathway leading from the wadi to the village. Jane Peterson explored PPNB and PPNC architecture in Southern Jordan on a broad scale. She suggested that any interpretation of shared-wall compound architecture should involve both the economic and the social factors that were influencing household creation and maintenance. Yosef Garfinkel interpreted the courtyard pattern of domestic architecture at Sha'ar Hagolan as evidence for family households. He presented a model that describes pairs of rooms arranged around a central courtyard as an extended family compound. Susanne Kerner wrapped up the session with a paper about the transition from the Pre-Pottery Neolithic to the Late Neolithic. She argued that shifts in burial and domestic architecture stem from a change in the way people saw their social and physical surroundings.

The day ended with a session chaired by Ted Banning, titled Ritual and the Ideology of Space. Dani Nadel started it off with a discussion of symbolism at the Epipalaeolithic site of Ohalo II. A discussion of the patterned placement of worked bone and lithic artifacts was followed by a description of a possible schematic depiction of a human figure outlined with stones. Leore Grosman followed this presentation with a description of burial practices at the Late Natufian cave site of Hilazon Tachtit. Evidence suggests a process of primary and secondary burials, which may indicate that the site was used for ritual purposes.

Trevor Watkins's paper proposed that the beginning of the Neolithic was a time of significant cognitive and cultural development where symbolism began to be externally recorded in architecture. This is based on the notion that architecture frames perception of the world, and that the people of the Neolithic recognized the potential to store symbolic information within architecture. Gary Rollefson began his paper with a brief survey of Neolithic Levantine architecture of religious or ritual nature. He followed this with a more in depth analysis of religious /ritual architecture at 'Ain Ghazal before ending with the suggestion that a set of terms to describe ritual space needs to be agreed upon in order to facilitate analysis of such spaces. Marc Verhoeven presented a seven-step model to reconstruct the functions of ancient architecture. He then presented a case study of the use of this model at the Late Neolithic Burnt Village at Tell Sabi Abyad in northern Syria. Isaac Gilead closed out the session with a talk about the weaknesses of the current terminology of community-based ritual and suggested that alternative terms be considered.

The two full days of paper presentations generated much stimulating discussion. Many important methodological and theoretical issues were raised such as the appropriate scale for analyzing prehistoric spaces and landscapes, and how population size should be inferred from fragmentary archaeological evidence. The numerous papers on ideology and symbolism demonstrated the complexity of archaeological interpretation. As Ezra Zubrow pointed out, individuals living in the prehistoric Near East may have interpreted the world in multiple ways, just as archaeologists have many different ways of interpreting material culture. An edited volume inspired by this conference will be prepared, and hopefully will encompass the same broad spectrum of ideas and issues raised by the paper presentations and the subsequent discussions.

These discussions were continued in an "open-house" poster session held in one of the archaeology laboratories at the University of Toronto. Many of the posters outlined aspects of the ongoing Wadi Ziqlab and Wadi Mataha projects, while others presented data on faunal exploitation in Lebanon, GIS analysis of Natufian sites, and archaeological survey in Cyprus.

Robert Braidwood 1907-2003
Linda Braidwood 1909-2003

Two prominent pioneers in a new era of archaeological research died within hours of each other after suffering from pneumonia. Based at the University of Chicago, where they trained many of the most recognized American names in archaeology, the Braidwoods began interdisciplinary and systematic survey and excavation programs that had tremendous impacts on our understanding of neolithization in the Fertile Crescent areas of Iraq, Anatolia, and Syria. We deeply mourn the passing of the Braidwoods, and the next issue of Neo-Lithics will carry an obituary citing their contributions to prehistoric archaeology in more detail.
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