Editorial

This is a meagre issue of Neo-Lithics. As already discussed in our last editorial, we have had problems receiving enough contributions to maintain the newsletter at the level of the past several years. From the reactions we had on the last editorial, it is clear that this is not a problem of acceptance — Neo-Lithics is well accepted and respected among our colleagues. Like other newsletters and journals that have had to pass through periods of thinner issues, we think that we simply have to proceed with what we receive, wait for better times, and reduce the number of issues back to two per year. Three issues were too ambitious, hopefully two are not.

Since human communication has been dramatically altered by the digital revolution, one is tempted to act as if this has also altered the productivity of mind. More possibilities of exchange have been established, and more communications can be processed within seconds. These technical facilities have created pressures, desperate publication constraints, and — to be frank — repetitious information or simply junk. Publishing a concept at these lower quality levels has not always served the concept well. Editors are pressed to be lions in this circus, but at the same time they are responsible that it goes on.

We would not like Neo-Lithics to become an agent of such high-pressure development, which has even reached levels that hinder real research, for which little time remains. Serving the growing number of conferences (almost a full-time job) takes time from reading the publications of colleagues or the preparation of one’s own artifact analysis for a final publication. And, because having no time to work with the artifacts themselves, there is a tendency to proceed with guesswork about artifacts.

But Neo-Lithics wants to serve research discussion on a level not guaranteed by journals. We especially invite younger colleagues to present their ideas generated in their fieldwork or labs without fear in order to get support and assistance through public or private discussion. Their professors and senior colleagues are asked to encourage them to do so. The Neolithic house is a vivid place, open your door or pass by its kitchen of ideas.

Congratulations and best wishes to the organizers of the 4th Conference on PPN Chipped Lithic Industries, which takes place soon!

Hans Georg K. Gebel and Gary O. Rollefson

PS: In the future we will publish the authors’ email addresses within the title area of the contribution.

PPS from ex oriente (Klaus Traulsen), which distributes Neo-Lithics: All subscriptions have been recalculated on the basis of the 6 issues paid with each subscription, meaning that your subscription period was extended. You will find the updated information on the last issue paid by you in the upper right corner of the address field on the envelope.

Deadline for the coming issue of Neo-Lithics is Nov. 15th, 2001 (next deadline: May 15th, 2002)

Please, note that the text of contributions should be sent as an email attachment directly to Gary O. Rollefson (rollefo@whitman.edu, Dept. of Anthropology, Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA, 99362 USA). Illustrations should be sent separately by snailmail to H.G.K. Gebel at the Berlin address (Free University of Berlin, Hüttenweg 7, D-14195 Berlin, Email: hggebel@zedat.fu-berlin.de).
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The Summer 2000 Season at Fistlikh Höyük

Reinhard Bernbeck and Susan Pollock
SUNY-Binghamton
(bernbec@binghamton.edu, bg9711@binghamton.edu)

Introduction

The summer 2000 season at Fistlikh Höyük was the last one at this 0.5 ha site. This Early Halaf settlement is situated on the left bank of the Euphrates some 25 km upstream from Carchemish in the upper reaches of the Karkamish Dam area. A first season of excavations took place in 1999, when a total of seven excavation units (A - G) were opened. This year, we opened four new units, H through L.

Our goals for this year were twofold. First, we aimed to gather data on the distribution of artifacts associated with daily activities in order to assess the size and composition of households. We work under the assumption that recurring sets of artifact associations define households as economic units rather than equating them with architectural units such as tholoi. Thus, a household may consist of several tholoi and/or other buildings. Our second goal was to investigate in detail the span of use of the site, which, according to last year’s results, was very brief.

In units H and L, sterile soil was reached, with H having a much longer sequence than the shallow accumulation in L. In units I and K, the stratigraphy has a minimal depth of 1.5 m. Sizeable burial pits from Hellenistic/Roman age cut through the site (Fig. 1). None of them contained substantial remains of living structures. However, large lengthy earthworks were found in I and K, built from pisé and bell-shaped in section. One runs WSW-ENE, the other NNW-SSE. Their function is not clear.

Particularly in units H and I, we discovered sequences of outside installations that were densely littered with many different kinds of artifacts. It is too early to decide conclusively whether the objects on these surfaces were simply trash dumped at the edge of the site or just debris related to work that had taken place there. The main importance of these findings lies in their potential for delimiting households through an analysis of activities.

As the overall plan of our excavations shows (Fig. 2), open areas occupied a lot of space at the village of Fistlik
Höyük. Compared to slightly earlier sites such as Sabi Abyad 6-4, this is somewhat unexpected (cf. Verhoeven 2000: Fig. 2). However, this may be due to the short-lived occupation at Fishtikli Höyük: as a settlement ages, empty spaces are typically built up with additional structures.

At a relatively late point in the occupational history of the site, units H and K were used as dumping areas. The spaces west and east of the earthworks in unit K were filled with ash and debris, and finally turned into a wide, shallow pit with a cooking installation containing a coarse clay stand, a bottom portion of a vessel, a calcareous disk and large quantities of ash. In unit H, a deep pit was cut through the earlier surfaces and filled with debris, including substantial quantities of ash.

Architecture
The Halaf architecture exposed this year consisted of four recognizable types of structures: the already mentioned earthworks and large ovens, a cell-plan building and two tholoi: Tholos IV in unit L and Tholos V in unit I (Fig. 3). Furthermore, a few pieces of walls were found that were too fragmentary to assign to a ground plan of a building type. While the earthworks seem to have been built entirely of packed mud, the tholos and the cell plan building had large calcareous stones as foundations and a pisé superstructure. This is also the case for one of the ovens. In unit K, in an early phase, a wall was found that was built of alternating layers of pisé and thin bands of mortar.

Unit L, on the summit of the mound to the southeast of the large Tholos I excavated in 1999, contained a tholos of ca. 4m diameter with internal installations such as hearths, a pit and a bench. Two large calcareous disks were found leaning up against the tholos wall inside the building. This building, tholos IV, was associated with a cell-plan structure and provides a good parallel to a similar combination of buildings in unit D (Bernbeck and Pollock 1999: Fig. 2) and to configurations at Yarim Tepe II (Merpert and Munchaev 1993: 140, Fig. 8.8). The structures in unit L were erected on sterile soil and had several internal surfaces. In contrast to the outside surfaces found in units H and I, those in the two buildings had been kept clean. The cell-plan building was modified in a late phase, when a new square room of moderate dimensions replaced the larger initial structure. In the late phase, this structure contained a stone pestle, a fragment of a calcareous vessel, as well as pottery and chipped stone implements, suggesting that it may have been a place for food preparation.

Artifacts
Artifact assemblages from the 2000 season were richer than those from 1999. This is due to the excavation of outside surfaces and trash areas with high densities of sherds, lithics and other items. The Halaf pottery shapes, among them straight-sided bowls and a large number of tall-necked jars (with the necks made separately and joined only carelessly to the body of the vessel), corroborate last year’s dating of the site to the Early Halaf period. Among the sherds from unit K is a piece that contains the representation of a bird and a human being with raised arms (Fig. 4).
The chipped stone industry includes a majority of items made of local chert as well as a small quantity of obsidian. There is ample evidence for local production of stone tools, with cores and other types of debitage present alongside tools. Tools include drills (several made of thick oxidized flakes), denticulates, transverse arrowheads, burins, and sickle blades.

A considerable number of jetons, small stone and ceramic disks, were found that were probably used as mnemonic devices (Costello 2000). Stone examples are almost exclusively unmodified river pebbles that seem to have been selected for their size and shape. The ceramic jetons are chipped from sherds to create round disks. So far, the chronological and spatial distribution of these items beyond the Halaf period is largely undocumented, although similar artifacts seem to occur in LPPNB contexts at 'Ain el-Kerkh, for example (Arimura 1999: Fig. 2).

Fig. 3. Plan of Tholos IV and associated Cell Plan Building in Unit L.

This year, eleven seals were recovered from Halaf levels. They exhibit a wide range of shapes, including square, clover-shaped, round, and irregular amulet-shaped. While most were made of a dark green to black stone, there are also examples of yellow sandstone and an unidentified reddish stone. Designs are exclusively geometric, and in this respect they are fundamentally different from those found at earlier Sabi Abyad 6-4 (Akkermans and Duistermaat 1997), resembling instead later seals from Late to Post-Halaf contexts (cf. Campbell and Carter 1999: Fig. 14, Nos. 1-2; Yener et al. 2000: Fig. 23.2 and 4). Only one sealing attests to the sporadic use of such items to make impressions. Interestingly, most of the seals were found in the peripheral areas of the site in trash contexts.

Fig. 4. Sherd with representation of a human being with raised arms (1:1).

In the 1999 season, we had identified worked calcareous stone disks (Pollock et al. n.d.). This year many more items of the same type were discovered, some with diameters up to 30 cm, others as little as 5 cm. The larger ones were often associated with pots or installations that can be related to cooking. We cannot specify the function of these items any further until we have completed analysis of contexts and artifact associations. Other objects made from calcareous stone or gypsum include small cones with rounded tops and semi-circular and pyramidal items.

Representsations of animals and humans come in two distinct types. Animals occurred mostly as animal shaped ceramic vessels, whereas human beings were represented by small, unpainted clay figurines fired at low temperatures. Several small disk- and ball-shaped pieces of clay were found; these could potentially have been tokens of some sorts. Also among these finds was a textile impression.

We discovered substantial quantities of animal bone. Identification will require detailed analysis, but preliminary examination indicates that sheep/goat, cattle, fish and crabs are represented. Combined with a good yield of plant remains (both wood charcoal and seeds from flotation samples), this will allow a detailed reconstruction of the subsistence basis of the households present at this small Halaf hamlet.

Conclusion
After a study season in the summer of 2001, we will begin the task of identifying spatially recurrent patterns of artifact associations in order to gain better knowledge about the socio-economic structure of the site. A preliminary assessment, based on first impressions, is that Fıstıkli Höyük was a site with a small number of widely spaced tholoi at its center and a northern periphery that was used for activities involving ovens and perhaps artifact production. The site was located on a small natural mound at the eastern edge of the Euphrates valley that had to be protected from periodic flooding.
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An Epipaleolithic Occurrence at the Site of Ain Miri, Northern Israel

R. Shimelmitz, R. Barkai, and A. Gopher
Institute of Archaeology, Tel Aviv University (agopher@ccsg.tau.ac.il, barkaran@post.tau.ac.il)

Introduction
The site of Ain Miri is located in the upper Galilee at the meeting point of Nahal Dishon and Nahal Tzivon. The site is situated at 560 masl, and lies in a valley rich in water sources surrounded by mountain ridges (Fig. 1). In the early 1970s a test excavation (1 x 1m) indicated Epipaleolithic and Neolithic finds (Ronen et al. 1974). We conducted a systematic survey in the area in 1998 and a small-scale excavation in 1999 (Area E). The assemblage presented here is from a test pit of one square meter to a depth of 40 cm. Excavation levels were 5cm thick, and the sediment was dry-sieved through a 2.4 mm mesh.

This paper will concentrate on the Epipaleolithic flint industry, although the flint assemblage contains a Neolithic component as well, which will be the subject of a separate report. Two lunates were found that may indicate Natufian or PPNA presence. All flint finds are presented in Table 1. The discussion concentrates only on the Epipaleolithic component of the assemblage—i.e., bladelet cores and microliths.

![Fig. 1. Geometric Kebaran sites in northern Israel: 1. Ain Miri; 2. Hayonim Terrace; 3. Ein Gev III; 4. Haon III; 5. Ohalo I.](image-url)

Table 1. The flint assemblage from Ain Miri (Area E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debitage type</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary element, flake</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary element, blade</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flake</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blade</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladelet</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core trimming element</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burin spall</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microburin technique</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polished spall</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chip</td>
<td>2612</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chunk</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4803</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geometric Microliths

Trapezes—Rectangles. Seven rectangles (6-9 mm wide) (Fig. 2: 3-5) and four trapezes (15-32 mm long and about 5 mm wide) were found. In addition, 16 asymmetrical trapezes were found (11-35 mm long and 5-11 mm wide, most of them in the range of 8-10 mm width). In almost all of these, one end is truncated at 90°, while the opposite is truncated at a different angle, (usually at 100°-110°) (Fig. 2: 7-9). Additionally, one proto-rectangle was found.

Broken rectangles. This group includes 38 backed bladelets with a straight truncation (90°) at one end. The other end is broken. The width of the broken rectangles varies between 5-10 mm. Some might be defined as proto-rectangles (Fellner 1995: 131; Valla 1989: 260).

Broken Backed and truncated bladelets/Broken trapezes?. This group includes 34 backed bladelets with an oblique truncation at one end. The other end is broken. Most of the truncations are at an angle of 100°-110°. Only four are truncated at an angle of 135°. These probably represent trapezes and asymmetrical trapezes.

Table 3. Microliths from Ain Miri (Area E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectangle</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proto-rectangle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broken rectangle</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trapeze</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assymetrical trapeze</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backed &amp; obliquely truncated bladelet</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truncation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backed bladelet</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vara</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microblad fragment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lunates. Two lunates were found in Area E (Fig. 2: 10-11). (Similar lunates were found in other areas of the site). One lunate is 18 mm in length and has bifacial retouch. The second is 12 mm long and has an abrupt retouch. These may indicate
either a Natufian presence at the site or a PPNA occurrence, as evidenced by a single broken el-Khiam point (Fig. 2: 12).

**Other Microliths**

**Broken backed bladelets:** The 45 items in this group constitute 27% of the microliths. Most of these are medial, and only two are proximal.

**Truncated bladelets:** Six truncated bladelets appear, of which three are obliquely truncated at an angle of 135°.

**Varia:** This group includes microliths that were not assigned to the types described above. Some of them are tool types known from the different typological lists: one broken bladelet with a back shaped by Helwan retouch; two notched bladelets; one bladelet with retouch along its dorsal face; one bladelet with a distal end shaped as a drill; one bladelet with alternate retouch; one irregular backed bladelet, and one La Mouillah point. Five microlith fragments could not be identified.

---

Fig. 2. Flint artifacts from Ain Miri.

---

**Endnote**

The assemblage uncovered in Area E at Ain Miri is mixed, however the frequency of microliths increased as we went deeper. The abundance of geometric microliths (about 60% of the microliths) favors a Geometric Kebaran assignment. Geometric Kebaran assemblages from northern Israel are generally characterized by narrow microliths, as is the case of Haon III (4-7 mm, Bar-Yosef 1981), and Hayonim Terrace (most are 4-6 mm, Valla 1989). The width of the geometrics of Ain Miri varies between 5-11 mm. However, while the trapezoids are indeed narrow, the asymmetricmetrical trapezoids and the rectangles are wider. A few more Geometric Kebaran sites are known in northern Israel, but most of them are published as short notes and do not provide sufficient data for comparison (e.g. Ohalo I, Bar-Yosef and Nadel 1988; Ein Gev III, Bar-Yosef 1970: 124-126). More research on Epipaleolithic sites of the Galilee is needed in order to enable a better understanding of the Geometric Kebaran complex. We hope that future excavation at Ain Miri may be of help.
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**A Short Note on Burin Sites in Wadi Hauran (Iraq)**

Ricardo Eichmann¹, Bernd Müller-Neuhof¹, and Sašar N. Shašir²

¹ German Archaeological Institut - Berlin

² University of Baghdad

(re@dainst.de,gpia@go.com.jo)

**Introduction**

Archaeological surveys concentrating on prehistoric sites in the area of Wadi Hauran, northeast of Rutba, were first conducted by H. Field in the late 1920s (Field 1960). The lithic material of these surveys was analysed by Garrod (1960: 116) and later again by Zarins (1990: 50). More recent investigations, concentrating on petroglyphs in this area, were conducted by Tyraček and Amin (1981: 145-148). (Fig. 1)

In April 2000 a short joint expedition of the University of Baghdad and the Oriental Department of the German Archaeological Institute, Berlin, visited the region of the Wadi Hauran, close to the ruin of Qasr Mueihwil. Several archaeological sites previously visited by colleagues of the geological department of the University of Baghdad were characterized by petroglyphs, stone alignments and abundant lithic material (Eichmann et al. 2000). It was D. Youkhanna A. Fadhil who drew the attention of German colleagues to these sites.

In November 2000 a second short visit was carried out for a closer examination of some of the already discovered sites, concentrating on the collection of lithic artefacts, surveying the direct vicinity of the sites for detecting more petroglyphs, and visiting other places in the neighbourhood in order to examine their archaeological potentials.

Besides two already known sites (No. 003 "Jurassic Garden" and No. 005, close to Qasr Mueihwil), three new sites were detected. Due to the abundant burins, and referring to similar sites in the northeastern desert of Jordan (e.g. Betts 1984), these sites were identified as burin sites.
Setting

The landscape of the surveyed area consists geologically of Jurassic limestone and dolomite as well as tertiary limestone, sandy limestone, sandstone and quartzite deposits. This desert is characterised by *hammada* surfaces deeply incised through the several rock strata by wadis (Andrau 1966, Shakir 1999, Tyrdecki and Amin 1981). Low precipitation and extreme daily and annual changes in temperature are typical for the area. All sites are characterised by their setting at the foot of cliffs in sandstone formations, which are heavily covered with desert varnish, and located near wadis.

On most of the sites more or less rectangular stone alignments forming enclosure-like structures could be detected, made of large stones, with the cliff as one boundary. In the case of Site 003 ("Jurassic Garden"), a natural depression in the sandstone formation was used, and spaces between the cliffs were closed by large stones.

Many petroglyphs were found on and in the direct vicinity of the sites, representing animal depictions such as deer, gazelles, goats, cattle, snakes, etc. (Fig. 2). No inscriptions were found in direct connection to the petroglyphs.

Lithic artefacts and other small finds

The lithic industry of all the examined sites is characterised by a strong homogeneity, including the choice of raw material (a mostly beige-brown and dark-brown flint) and a probable preference of blades as blanks for the secondary production. Primary production artefacts such as core trimming elements were rarely detected on the surface. Very few cores and core fragments were found, and these are more or less totally exhausted irregularly shaped small flake cores (Fig. 3a).

All sites are characterised by an abundance of burins, distinguished by three burin types, among which the burin on truncation was the most common. This burin type is represented by simple burins on truncation (Fig. 3b), multiple burins on truncation (Fig. 3c), the latter also by partly overlapping burin facets (Fig. 3d), and opposed burins on truncations (Fig. 3e). Concave truncations are the most common type of truncation.

The second burin type is the transverse burin (Fig. 3f), followed by mixed burins--artefacts characterised by a combination of different burin types. For an example with a combination of burins on truncation and a transverse burin cf. Fig. 3g.

---

Fig. 1. Map of the region where the Wadi Haaran is located.

Fig. 2. Petroglyphs.
of the edges of the piece, characterised by a clear shoulder-like separation between the tip from the basal part of the blank, which was in most of the borers only partly preserved (Fig. 4 a,b,d). Some of the microborers show burin facets, demonstrating the use of burin technology for plan modification (Fig. 4c).

A correlation of microborers and their use in bead production, which has been postulated for other burin sites in the Jordanian eastern desert (Baird 1993; Betts 1986) was supported by the find of a perforated carnelian bead in the direct vicinity of several microborers at site 003 ("Jurassic Garden"). Beside these tool types a high amount of retouched and unretouched blades and flakes were found on the sites. Interestingly, projectile points weren’t detected during this survey.

**Dating and Discussion**

The high frequency of burins on truncation offer the best possibility for a date due to comparable material from surveyed and excavated sites in the Jordanian Black Desert (e.g. Betts 1984: 27) and in the Azraq/Jilat area (Garrard et al. 1994: 73ff.). It seems that these burins were produced from the M/PPNB through to the Pottery Neolithic. A chronological extension of the production and use of these tools into the Chalcolithic period can not be excluded, due to the fact that technological developments in arid regions could have had a different course compared to the rain-fed, agricultural areas (Zarins 1992: 49), which probably also includes a longer duration of specific technologies.
of Amman (Müller-Neuhof 1996), the Syrian steppe region around Palmyra (Akazawa 1979), and in western Saudi Arabia (Ingraham et al. 1981). The Wadi Hauran sites represent – in our current knowledge – the easternmost extension of the PPNB/PN burin site phenomenon.

A correlation of the lithic material with petroglyphs found at these sites is unsafe, since no excavations were carried out to see if there was archaeozoological evidence for the simultaneous occurrence of animals depicted on the rock surfaces and/or their remains in the stratified layers combined with typologically datable lithic material.

Notes
1 The team members of this expedition were: Ricardo Eichmann, Margarete van Eis, Abdullah Fadhil, Nazar Abdul Latif al-Hadithy, and Saar N. Shakir.
2 The team members of this expedition were: Ricardo Eichmann, Bernd Müller-Neuhof and Saar N. Shakir, Mustafa M.H. Al Azawi (representative of the Iraqi Department of Antiquities) and Khaled H. Hussein (geologist).
3 The site is covered by petrified wood of Jurassic age, hence the name.
4 A detailed description of the petroglyphs is published in Eichmann et al. 2000.
5 Additional references on burin sites in Saudi Arabia can be found in this publication.
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Person or Penis? Interpreting a 'New' PPNB Anthropomorphic Statue from the Taurus Foothills

Marc Verhoeven
Leiden University
(m.verhoeven@rulpre.leidenuniv.nl)

In this short contribution I would like to propose an alternative hypothesis concerning the iconography of a recently reported PPNB anthropomorphic stone statue. In a beautifully produced book about the ancient kingdom of Kommagene in southeastern Turkey, Hauptmann reports a large and carefully made anthropomorphic statue found near the village of Kilisik in the Taurus foothills, ca. 85 km north of Nevali Çorî (Hauptmann 2000). In 1963 the statue was obtained from a farmer by a member of the nearby excavation team of Dörner at Arsameia, and was subsequently transferred to the museum in Gaziantep. At the time it was not recognized as a Neolithic statue, but the shape of the statue, and its bent arms, is more or less similar to the large anthropomorphic pillars from the cult buildings at Nevalî Çorî, leaving almost no doubts that it is a PPNNB feature (e.g. Hauptmann 1999).

The statue, which has very powerful overall expression, is 80 cm high, and made of gray limestone (Fig. 1). Originally the statue must have been taller since its base is broken. Like the large pillars from Nevalî Çorî and Göbekli Tepe, up to three meters high (e.g. Hauptmann 1999; Schmidt 1998, 1999), the sculpture is T-shaped. The head measures 29 x 9.5cm, and of the facial features only a long-drawn nose is indicated. Directly beneath the head, bent arms are indicated in relief at both sides. Like the Nevalî Çorî statues, the hands (with fingers indicated) are at the front. The hands surround a large protuberance, which Hauptmann interprets as a navel. He further suggests that a large penis and two thin legs are indicated beneath this navel. The "penis" ends just above a large circular hole. With regard to the penis, Hauptmann sees a parallel in the stone statue of an ithyphallic man, ca. 40cm high, from Nevalî Çorî (Hauptmann 2000: Fig. 11).

In my opinion, however, what Hauptmann regards as a penis is in fact a person. I argue, then, that the "navel" represents the head, the "penis" the body, and the "legs" the arms of a human. The hole perhaps indicates a vagina. If you look closely to the right, bent, arm, a hand seems indeed to be indicated. If my interpretation is correct, the Kilisik statue would then represent a composite figure of two persons, a bit like a totem pole. Such composite statues were probably not uncommon in the PPNNB, given the recovery of a ca. 1m high stone figure consisting of a bird on top of at least one human head at Nevalî Çorî (Hauptmann 1999; Fig. 14). I would like to suggest, then, that the Kilisik statue is of a composite, ambiguous and perhaps bisexual nature. The "navel" might not only represent the head of the "lower" person, but also the penis of the "upper" person, and as indicated above, the hole at the base might symbolize a vagina. Or maybe the protuberance indeed signifies a navel, and perhaps a penis and a woman's head at the same time. It could also be argued that the hole does not represent a vagina, and that the sex of the lower person (having no breasts) was not indicated. The various alternatives (in order of likelihood) can be schematized as follows:

Hauptmann: male statue with navel, large penis and legs

Verhoeven:
Possibility 1: composite statue, the navel of the upper sexless person representing the head of the lower woman with a large vagina

Possibility 2: composite statue, the navel of the upper sexless person representing the head of the lower sexless person, function of hole enigmatic

Possibility 3: bisexual statue, the penis of the upper male representing the head of the lower woman with a large vagina

Possibility 4: bisexual statue, the protuberance of the upper person at the same time representing a navel, a penis and the head of the lower woman with a large vagina
Possibility 5: composite statue, the penis of the upper person representing the head of the lower sexless person, function of hole enigmatic

The possible and intricate symbolic linkages between male and female, and penis-navel-head are most interesting, and seem to point to a complex ritual and ideological system. At NevaliCori and Göbekli Tepe, both in the same general area as Kilisik, the ritual symbolism was also marked by all kinds of complex linkages (Verhoeven n.d.). It seems likely, as Hauptmann argues, that originally the statue was part of the furniture of a cult building. On the basis of possibilities 1, 3 and 4, it can be expected that during rituals in such a building an object (a symbolic penis?) was stuck in the "vagina". Anyway, it seems likely that the statue acted as an important symbol related to fertility rituals, given the probable sexual iconography. Elsewhere I have suggested that during the PPNB fertility (and "life-force") was a very important concept in the ritual ideology (Verhoeven n.d.). This does not have to surprise us, as in the PPNB we are dealing with communities where fecundity and domestication were of basic importance.

Whatever the precise meaning of the Kilisik statue, it is yet another testimony of powerful and evocative ritual symbolism which is so characteristic of the PPNB of South-East Anatolia.

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to Prof. Dr. H. Hauptmann for his kind permission for reproducing Figure 1.
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Göbekli Tepe and the Early Neolithic Sites of the Urfa Region: a Synopsis of New Results and Current Views

Klaus Schmidt
Deutsches Archäologisches Institut, Orient-Abt., Berlin
(kls@orient.dainst.de)

The excavated structures at Göbekli Tepe (southeastern Turkey) so far include no domestic buildings or installations (Schmidt 2001). In comparison with Çayönü or Nevali Cori, at Göbekli Tepe all buildings can be understood as "Sondergebäude", buildings with a ritual function. There are three large enclosures (Structures A-C) in the older layers and several rectangular rooms with terrazzo floors in the younger strata. The dominating element of these buildings are monolithic T-shaped pillars and so-called "Pillar Bases"(Fig.1). It now seems to be more probable that the real function of these...
monolithic objects was that of a window or a doorway. The mapping of the surface evidence of pillars and "pillar base" fragments at Göbekli Tepe (Belle-Bohn et al. 1998: Fig. 19) indicates that we should not expect domestic structures in future excavations there.

The excavations in 2000 concentrated on Structure B in the older layers (Schmidt 1999: Figs. 2-3). Two huge pillars (pillars 9 and 10) are in the center of an enclosure consisting of stone walls and six additional pillars. The excavation of the structure has not been completed, and only between pillar 9 and 10 was a floor (as expected a terrazzo floor) unearthed. Just in front of pillar 9 a trapezoid limestone slab was inserted into the floor (Fig. 2). However, the surface of the slab is not plain. A shallow channel, starting at the rim, runs into a bowl-like depression in the center of the slab (Fig. 3). It is obvious that it was part of an installation in connection with ritual customs that took place within the enclosure. Several other limestone slabs of similar shape with such runnels and bowl-like depressions are among the surface finds at Gobekli Tepe. There is no doubt that they had been used in a similar context. So far such objects are known from no other site.

It is interesting that not one of these sites is located in the Euphrates Valley, despite the fact that intensive archaeological investigations took place there. A single exception is Jerf el Ahmar on the Syrian Euphrates: small pillars with zoomorphic heads remind one in their upper parts of the Kilisik sculpture (Stordeur 2001). Nevalı Çori is near to the Euphrates, but hidden in a little valley, about 3km southeast of the river. Its topographical situation seems to be connected with a distinct hunting strategy. Seasonally wandering animals would cross the Euphrates at fords twice a year, and at Samsat, about 10km from Nevalı Çori, there was an important one. Animals crossing the river at a predicted point and time could easily be hunted. For example, the late Paleolithic Swiderian reindeer hunters of eastern Europe had a similar hunting strategy using the seasonal wandering of reindeer and their crossing of big rivers such as the Vistula (Weichsel) (Zaliznyak 1995: 80). The settlement of Nevalı Çori is close to the Euphrates, but far enough from possible crossing points not to be detected by the animals. The observation that the Neolithic settlements directly near the Euphrates are often from late Neolithic periods (e.g., Gritille, Kumar Tepe, Teleilat) fits well with this view. When herding replaced hunting, the old hunting strategy lost its importance.

These observations might be helpful regarding the question on the nature of all these "pillar-sites" in the Urfa region. It seems obvious that they are early within the PPN period and were constructed not by a true Neolithic people but by a predominantly hunter-gatherer society. The big rivers were for them primarily a hunting ground habitat and not a place for settlements. Regarding Karahan Tepe, it is not clear if it is a true settlement or, more probably, a ritual place like Göbekli Tepe. Karahan Tepe is situated about 50km southeast of Göbekli Tepe, which is also true for Nevalı Çori towards northwest. Çayönü lies at a distance of about 100km northeast of Nevalı Çori. Çayönü, and Nevalı Çori are the best known and most characteristic examples for real settlements within the mentioned sites with many houses and several "Sondergebäude. At Çayönü and Nevalı Çori there are quite
similar terrazzo buildings, at Nevalı Çorî with T-shaped pillars of so-called Nevalı Çorî type (arms with bent elbows in relief; Fig. 4). And it has already been supposed that in the Çayönü terrazzo building there also were such pillars, completely destroyed and removed in later times (Schmidt 1997:73, Fig. 3).

As the pillars of Nevalı Çorî type are well known from Göbekli Tepe, a close connection seems to exist between the three sites. All "pillar sites" obviously followed very similar ritual customs. The real character of Karahan Tepe can not yet be determined, which also is true for the sites of Urfa-Yeni Yol and Hamzan Tepe. But all these sites are not in such a dominating "strategic" position as Göbekli Tepe and they don't have such a deep stratigraphy (Göbekli Tepe: 15m). There seems to be a functional differentiation and also a hierarchic stratification between these places, with Göbekli Tepe at top, surrounded by satellite sites.
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**New Books and Dissertations**


**Abstract:** This dissertation focuses on fifty-five plastered skulls from the Pre-Pottery Neolithic B Period in the Levant, and what such skulls may suggest about a so-called ancestor cult ca. 8,500 years ago. Early discussions of plastered skulls excavated at Jericho in 1953 suggested that the skulls of elderly men were selected for modeling as part of an ancestor cult composed of male elders and leaders. Based on this initial interpretation, the predominant scholarly view suggested the skulls had been selected based on the sex, age, or cranial shape of the individuals. Some researchers further proposed the skulls were modified in appearance by the evulsion of all teeth and a widening of the face with plaster to produce facial features found in the elderly.

This dissertation reexamines the physical characteristics and intellectual and archaeological contexts of plastered and asphalt-decorated skulls. It attempts to test the hypothesis that these skulls were intentionally selected and modeled to produce a "gerontocracy" of skulls. It uses modern techniques to sex and age the individuals, and to check for the presence or absence of teeth. Physical and scientific reexaminations of the finds do not support claims that age, sex, or skull shape were consistent factors in the selection of skulls for special treatment. Teeth were not all intentionally removed after death. Skulls of children, as well as those of women and men, were also plastered. Any explanation of these objects must take into account that the skulls of women, children, and men were involved. Comparisons with analogously treated skulls in New Guinea and elsewhere in Melanesia are included in order to provide possible alternative reasons for the collection and decoration of skulls in the Neolithic period and to enlarge the terms of reference for the interpretation of the plastered skulls in the Levant.


**Abstract:** This study has dual foci: 1) an examination of the developmental processes of early agricultural society and the social background to the introduction of agriculture in the Levant (the Late Natufian to the Pottery Neolithic, 11,000-6,000 B.P., uncalibrated), using lithic use-wear analysis (the microscopic study of lithic edge wear); 2) the technological development of the analytical method of use-wear.

Lithic functions are expected to be an indication of the two major factors that determine the nature of social change: technology and social relations. The social changes reflected in
lithic functions are examined in order to discuss the social backgrounds of the transition to agricultural society. A typologically oriented, selective analysis of major tool types that may be indicative of different economic activities was conducted for use-wear. The archaeological assemblages sampled were from woodland and steppe areas in the southern Levant, allowing a comparison of economic activities between different subsistence sectors (i.e., hunter-gatherers and agriculturalists) in adjacent areas. Experimental tests using replicated tools were also conducted.

As a result of the use-wear analysis, possible intensive engagement in certain artisanal activities was observed in the steppe area. This indicates a potential for trade between the agricultural and the hunting-gathering or less intensive agricultural sectors, to provide essential food subsistence to the latter in exchange for craft products. This type of exchange may be seen as an important background to the growth of a Neolithic farming society. Similar relationships may have existed between hunter-gatherers in relatively affluent areas and those in marginal areas. The competitive relationships between communities to increase their subsistence base may have served as the social background of the emergence of agriculture.

In terms of technological development of use-wear analysis, several new analytical procedures were introduced to enable more secure interpretation of tool function. Computer image analysis is aimed at overcoming another major technical limitation of use-wear analysis, that is, the lack of quantitative description of use-wear polish. X-ray spectrometry of the residue layers on tool edges contributes to the more precise identification of the material worked, as well as to the theory of use-wear polish formation.

TASK Foundation / TAY Project

The TAY Project creates a detailed inventory of archaeological settlements and find spots in Anatolia and Thrace. However, this small publication is an example of another type of effort of the TASK / TAY: to make sensitive for the preservation of archaeological sites. A number of organizations, national and international, have already recognized this engagement. The initiative to publish this issue is an evidence of exemplary responsibility for archaeo-logical heritage in Turkey.

New Websites Oriented Towards Neolithic Research
http://perso.wanadoo.fr/g.wilcox/
http://www.diplomatie.fr/culture/france/archeologie/ind_djade_elmughara.html (on Early PPNB Djade el-Mughara, Middle Euphrates, communicated by Eric Coqueugniot, eric.coqueugniot@mom.fr)
http://www.diplomatie.fr/culture/france/archeologie/ind_cafar.html

Passed Conferences
Colloque international: Le Néolithique de Chypre Nicosia, 17-19 May 2001
Dépt. des Antiquités de Chypre &École Française d’Athènes
Programme
Accueil des participants, Ouverture du colloque
Sophocle HADJISAVVAS, Directeur du Département des Antiquités de Chypre
Roland ETIENNE, Directeur de l’École Française d’Athènes
Jean GUILAINE, Professeur au Collège de France et Alain LE BRUN, Directeur de Recherche au CNRS
Thème 1 - Les établissements néolithiques de Chypre
Les sites pré-céramiques
J. GUILAINE, Collège de France, Paris: Parekklisha-Shillourokambos: temporalisation et aménagements domaniaux
E. PELTENBURG, Université d’Edimbourg: The 10th-9th millennium BP wells of Kissonerga-Mylouthkia
I. TODD, Vasilikos Valley Project, Chypre Kalavasos-Tenta: a reappraisal
A. LE BRUN, O. DAUNE-LE BRUN, CNRS, Paris: Khirokitia et Cap Andreas-Kastros
A. SIMMONS, Université de Nevada - Las Vegas: Villages Without Walls and Cows Without Villages - Economic and Site Diversity in the Cypriot Pre-Pottery Neolithic

Les sites néolithiques céramiques
F. FLORENTZOS, Cyprus Museum: Excavations at the Neolithic site of Paralimni-Nissia
E. MANZIOURANI, Université d’Athènes ; Kandou-Kouphovonos: A Late Neolithic settlement in the mainland district
E. PELTENBURG, Université d’Edimbourg: Building abandonment at Late Neolithic Ayios Epikritos-Vyssi

Thème 2 - Aspects de la culture matérielle
F. BRIOS, EHESS, Toulouse: Caractéres et évolution des industries lithiques précéramiques du site de Shillourokambos
C. MARTNEZ, Lembra Archaeological Project, Chypre: The Tenta and Mylophouska chipped stone industries and their interpretation within a redefined Cypriot Neolithic
S. PHILIBERT, Centre d’Anthropologie, Toulouse: Approche fonctionnelle de l’outillage lithique de Shillourokambos
L. ASTRUC, CEPAM, Valbonne: Étude fonctionnelle de l'industrie lithique de Khirokitia
J. CLARKE, University of East Anglia, Norwich: Some Initial Petrographic Results on the Pottery from Neolithic Cyprus

Thème 3 - L’économie des premiers paysans de Chypre
S. THÉBAULT, CNRS, Paris: Paysages végétaux de Chypre au Néolithique - premières données anthropologiques
G. WILCOX, CNRS, Lyon: L’agriculture: les données de Shillourokambos
J. HANSEN, Université de Boston: Aceramic Neolithic Agriculture in Cyprus: Evidence from Macroscopic Plant Remains
J.-D. VIGNE, CNRS, Paris: Statut des grands mammifères de Shillourokambos, notamment durant les phases anciennes (fin 9e millénaire)
S. DAVIS, National Archaeological Institute, Lisbonne: The Neolithic mammals from Khirokitia and Cap Andreas-Kastros - a view from the Levant
P. CROFT, Lembra Archaeological Project, Chypre: Cowboys and water-holes: animal remains from the Paphian Neolithic
J. DESSE et N. DESSE-BERSET, CNRS, Valbonne: Les premiers pêcheurs de Chypre

Thème 4 - Rites funéraires et anthropologie
E. CRUBEZÉ, Université de Toulouse: Les vestiges anthropologiques de Shillourokambos
F. LE MORT, CNRS, Lyon: Les restes humains de Khirokitia: particularités et interprétations

Thème 5 - Idéologie / Symbolique
J. GUILAINE, Collège de France, Paris: Objets symboliques et parures du site de Parekklisha-Shillourokambos
A. LE BRUN, CNRS, Paris: A propos de grandes constructions

Thème 6 - Regards sur le Proche-Orient
D. STORDEUR, CNRS, Lyon: De la vallée de l’Euphrate à Chypre ? nouveaux indices (architecture, symbolique) de relation au Néolithique
E. COQUEUGNIOT, CNRS, Lyon: Unité et diversité des industries lithiques taillées au Proche-Orient (Levant et Anatolie méridionale) du 5e au 3e millénaire av. J.-C.
JEAN PERROT, CNRS, Paris: Facteurs et critères d‘expansion du Néolithique proche-oriental

Final Discussion

The Aegean Basin Between the Balkans, Anatolia, and the Near East: Local Experiments and Outward Interactions in an Island Society (Rhodes, 23 March, 2001)

During the gathering, the following topics related to the Neolithic were presented (communicated by A. Sampson):
Wednesday, 5 June: Excursion to Kaletpe and to the obsidian sources, Picnic lunch at the Kaletpe Excavation House, Dinner at the Evim Hotel

Wednesday, 6 June: Theme: Obsidian production and exchange from late Epipaleolithic to Pottery Neolithic

Chairperson: François BRIOSI
9:00 Avi GOPHER, O. MARDER & Ran BARKAI: An Obsidian Industry from Neolithic Hagoshrim, northern Israel.
9:20 Yosef GARFINKEL: Obsidian Distribution and Cultural Contacts in the Southern Levant
9:40 Marie Claire CAUVIN: Circulation des matières premières: que peut-on dire à propos d'un exemple, l'obsidienne?
10:00 Osama MAEDA: The Lithic Technology and Obsidian Distribution in the PPN and PN Periods

Chairperson: Nur BALKAN-ATLI
10:50 Frederic ABBES: Debitages d'obsidienne du PPNB final
11:10 Dani NADEL: Stone Caches: Epipaleolithic and Euphrates Valley
11:30 Semra YILDIRIM: Apkli Hoyuk projectiles: problems in parallel lines: Yiftahel and Abou Gosh, economic strategies of the case of Tel Dover
11:50 Melih EREK: Obsidian Technology of Kosk Hoyuk
12:10 Discussion: Avi GOPHER - Mehmert ÖZOĞAN

Theme: Integrative studies of PPN technical systems
Chairperson: Yosef GARFINKEL
14:30 Hamoudi KHALAILY & Eliseeva KAMAISKY: The use of sickle blades for decorating pottery in the Wadi Rabab Culture: The case of Tel Dover
14:50 Ofer MARDER, Hamoudi KHALAILY & Ian MILEVSKI: Parallel lines: Yiftahel and Abou Gosh, economic strategies of two PPNB sites in the Southern Levant
15:30 Juan Jose IBANEZ, Ferran BORELL, Nur BALKAN-ATLI, Miquel MOLIST: Lithic Tools in Akağray Tepe (Turkey). Technical Evolution between 9,000 and 7,000 BP in the Mid Euphrates Valley
16:10 Tristan CARTER: The earliest chipped stone industries from Çatal Hüyük: Context, form and significance
16:30 Elizabeth HEALEY: Interpretation of Lithic Assemblages: some approaches used at Domuztepe

16:50 Discussion: Anna BELFER-COHEN, Isabella CANEVA

Real contacts or parallel evolution?
S. Katsarou: Aegean and Cyprus in the early Holocene: brothers or distant relatives?
E. Todorova: A New data about the Neolithization of the Balkan peninsula at the end of the 7th millennium BC

G. Chourmouziades, Thessaloniki University: Aegean and Mediterranean
G. Kourtoussis-Filipakis, Paris I University: The first populations in the Aegean: data and perspectives
A. Sampson, Aegean University: Mesolithic Aegean and Near East: Real contacts or parallel evolution?
S. Katsarou: Aegean and Cyprus in the early Holocene: brothers or distant relatives?
E. Todorova: A New data about the Neolithization of the Balkan peninsula at the end of the 7th millennium BC
Z. Kafafi, Yarmouk University: Jordan during the late seventh and the beginning of the sixth millennia BC
H. Hauptmann, Deutsche Arch. Institut of Istanbul: About the problem of synchronization of Neolithic and Chalcolithic in Greece and Asia Minor
A.A. Hamid El-Gindy, Alexandria University: Palaeo-oceanographic and climatic conditions in SE Mediterranean during Holocene
M. Mosa Dorghan, Alexandria University: Plantonic life during Holocene in South East Mediterranean region
H. Erkanal, Ankara University: The significance of Smyrna region in the prehistoric Aegean
M. Özdögan, Instanbul University: From East to the West: temples, cult buildings and cult objects of the Neolithic
L. Orphanidis, Academy of Athens: Figurine art and intercultural relations in Neolithic Eastern Mediterranean

***************
Upcoming Conferences

4th Workshop on PPN Chipped Lithic Industries (Nîdje, 4 - 8 June 2001)
Program

Monday 4 June: Theme: PPN Lithic Technology
Chairperson: Michael ROSENBERG
09:30 Opening
10:00 Leore GROSMAN: Late/Final Natufian occupation at the hilly "core area" vs. the Jordan Valley of Southern Levant: the lithic perspective.
10:20 Anna BELFER-COHEN & Nigel GORING-MORRIS: The Implications of Changes in Frequencies of Standardized and ad hoc tools from the Epipaleolithic through Late Neolithic Industries
10:40 Makoto ARIMURA: LPPNB blade cache at Neolithic Tell Ain el-Kerkh, northwest Syria: technological study of pressure blade production
11:00 coffee break
Chairperson: NN
11:30 Philipp RASSMAN: Celts, Axes, Adzes, Chisels and Picks: Bifacial Types or Chameleons?
11:50 Ian KUJT & Bill FINLAYSON: Contrasts and Contexts: assessing lithic inter-assemblage variability from the perspective of the pre-pottery neolithic a period occupation of Dirah' and Wadi Faynan 16, Jordan
12:10 François BRIOS & Jean GUILAINE: Nature et évolution des industries lithiques de Shillourokambos
12:30 Lunch break
Chairperson: Douglas BAIRD
14:00 I. CANEV A. ERIM, C. LEMORINI, M. R. IOVINO, D ZAMPETTI: The Lithic Tools of the Çayönü basal sub-phases: typology and socio-economic: implications
14:20 Didier BINDER: Comportements Techniques dans le PPN de Çayönü (Turquie): un aperçu à travers le filtre des matières premières
14:40 Klaus SCHMIDT: Gibiski Tepe and the Early Neolithic Sites of the Urfa Region-Some Perspectives
15:00 Güner ÇOKSUNSU: Flint and Obsidian Industry of Mezraa-Teleilet (Urfa, Turkey), PPN-PN
15:20 Zafer DERIN, Esref ABAY: The Neolithic of Ulucak (poster)
Chairperson: Stefan KOZŁOWSKI
15:50 Douglas BAIRD: A Neolithic Assemblage from the neighborhood of Çatal Hüyük
16:10 Tristan CARTER: The earliest chipped stone industries from Çatal Hüyük: Context, form and significance
16:30 Elizabeth HEALEY: Interpretation of Lithic Assemblages: some approaches used at Domuztepe

16:50 Discussion: Anna BELFER-COHEN, Isabella CANEVA

Tuesday, 5 June: Excursion to Kaletpe and to the obsidian sources, Picnic lunch at the Kaletpe Excavation House, Dinner at the Evim Hotel

Thursday 7 June: Theme: PPN Lithic Cultural Markers: Spatial, Social and Symbolic
Chairperson: Nigel GORING-MORRIS
9:00 Deborah OLSZEWSKI: An Assessment of Lithic Raw Material Availability, Abundance and Use in the Wadi al-Hasa, Jordan (poster)
9:20 Ran BARKAI: PPNA Flint stone axes as cultural markers: Technological, Functional and Symbolic Aspects
9:40 Stefan Karol KOZŁOWSKI: At home and the dump: flint assemblages inside and outside the houses
10:00 Dani NADEL: Stone Caches: Epipaleolithic and Neolithic Examples from the Jordan Valley
Chairperson: Deborah OLSZEWSKI
10:50 Olivier AURENCH & Stefan Karol KOZŁOWSKI: The Arrowheads and Microliths Spatial Repartition in the Near East
11:10 Yoshi NISHIKA: The PPNA and PN lithics from Tell Seker al-Aheimar, the Kabur basin, Northeast Syria
The Neolithic of Central Anatolia. Internal Developments and External Relations During the 9th - 6th millennia cal BC (16-17 November 2001)

Frédéric Gérard & Laurens Thissen, CANeW Project (frederic.gerard@isbank.net.tr, frederic.gerard@isbank.net.tr)

Dear colleague(s),

The International Table Ronde in Istanbul (Turkey) on "The Neolithic of Central Anatolia, internal developments and external relations during the 9th - 6th millennia cal BC" will be held Friday and Saturday 16-17 November 2001. The Central Anatolian Neolithic e-Workshop (CANeW) is an archaeological project on Internet, set up to debate some specific issues concerning the prehistory of Central Anatolia preliminary to the Table Ronde. The workshop makes use of a private discussion list of scholars and has already produced some interesting results that are accessible through the Project's Web site.

Costs and accommodation: The Table Ronde will be held in the Richmond Hotel, a 4 star category (****) hotel with a large conference room, located in the heart of Istanbul, directly on Istiklal Street, close to Taksim Square and all the Research Institutes. The participants are recommended to stay in this hotel for the duration of the conference. An interesting arrangement includes a stay for 3 nights/4 days, from Thursday until Sunday, complete with breakfast plus transfer from the Airport to the Hotel and vice versa - all for the rate of 200 US dollars (double room) or 160 US dollars (single room). For participants with smaller budgets, we recommend a similar package, including the 3 nights and breakfast plus transfer from the Airport to the Hotel and vice versa amounts to 150 US dollars (double room) or 100 US dollars (single room).

Participation to the Table Ronde is open to everybody interested and free of charge. Please let us know of your interest before the end of June 2001 by sending a mail to:<mailto:frederic.gerard@isbank.net.tr>frederic.gerard@isbank.net.tr.

For more information on accommodations, consult our Table Ronde page:

<http://www.chez.com/canew/tableronde.htm>

NEWS - preliminary results of the project:

The NEW homepage gives you access directly to the CANeW edition part of the Project Web site:


- NEW maps prepared especially for the project*
- The Central Anatolian Sites Database:
- The Geoarchaeological Maps based on an original geomorphological map and on the Sites Database:
- NEW chronological data*
- The Southwest and West (Ağae) Anatolian 14C Database:
- The Yumuktepe - Mersin 14C Database:
- The Southwest, Ağae and Northwest Anatolian Chronological Scheme based on the 14C databases:

Every change in the Web site will be announced through a mailing list. If you know scholars potentially interested in the project wanting to be included in this mailing list, please just let them send an e-mail with the CANeW word in the [Subject] part to:
Let the project be known to other colleagues using the Forward button of your e-mail browser, or recommend it using the specified button on the homepage of the Web site.

***************

Calls for Papers

Neolithic Revolution!
New Perspectives on South-West Asia in Light of Recent Discoveries on Cyprus

Please, see the previous section.

Recent Studies of Ground Stone Artifacts in the Southern Levant.

This announcement is a call for papers for a new session to be held at the 2001 ASOR Annual Meeting entitled Not the Same Old Grind: Recent Studies of Ground Stone Artifacts in the Southern Levant. The panel will be the first in the history of the ASOR meetings to focus systematically on ground stone tools from sites in Israel, the Palestine Authority's autonomous regions and Jordan, and we are soliciting papers that will focus on material from the Upper Paleolithic through Iron Age II. The goal of the panel is to make the results of recent ground stone studies available to the ASOR community, and demonstrate how new techniques are being used to answer questions about social and socio-economic phenomena related to stone tool manufacture, trade, use, and discard in this region.

Please contact Yorke Rowan or Jennie Ebeling for more information.

Yorke Rowan, ymrowan@hotmail.com
Jennie Ebeling, jebeling99@hotmail.com

********

Publications by ex oriente

Studies in Early Near Eastern Production, Subsistence, and Environment


The first volume of a new series:

bibliotheca neolithica Asiae meridionalis et occidentalis

Jebel Abu Thawwab (Er-Rumman), Central Jordan. The Late Neolithic and Early Bronze Age I Occupations

by Zeidan Kafafi, with contributions by Nizar Abu-Jaber, Bo Dahl Hermansen, Ilte Koehter-Rollefson, Reinder Neef, Nahid Qadi, Raeda Quraan, Ziad al Sa'd, Danielle Stordeur, & Hishikio Wada

bibliotheca neolithica Asiae meridionalis et occidentalis (2001)
& Monographs of the Institute of Archaeology and Antropology, Yarmouk University 3 (2001)
(with 7 specialist contributions, XIII + 222 pages, 77 figures, 42 plates, 23 tables, hardcover - 135 DEM / 70 Euro, postage inc.) [ISBN 3-9804241-7-0]

NEO-LITHICS.
A Newsletter of Southwest Asian Lithics Research
edited by Gary O. Rollefson and Hans Georg K. Gebel

since 1994 Contributions on Current Chipped Lithics and Field Research, General Contributions on / Discussion of Southwest Asian Neolithic Issues, Reports from Meetings and Gatherings, Notes & News, Recent Theses and Publications, Upcoming Conferences and Meetings, etc. (two issues per year <1997-2000: three issues> each c. 10 pages, 48 DEM / 24 Euro for a minimum subscription of three years = 6 issues, postage included, back issues available) [ISSN 1349-6996]

Order Form for Publications of ex oriente
(to be returned to ex oriente e.V., Hüttenweg 7, D-14195 Berlin, Fax 030 308352106 or 7959937, email: klaus@zedat.fu-berlin.de)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>1 / We herewith order</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note on payment:

☐ remittance was made to the account of ex oriente
☐ is enclosed by check (drawn on a German bank) #
☐ Please, charge my Mastercard/ Eurocard / Visa (no other cards)

Card No. ________________________________ Expiry Date ____________

Date: ________________________________ Signature: ________________________________

Conditions of sale (non-credit card orders):

Individuals outside Germany: prepayment must be made by a check made out to ex oriente (drawn on a German bank) - Individuals from Germany: prepayment by EC or personal check - Institutions and libraries: official signed purchase order must be submitted - Invoices are send with the publication(s), payments are to be be received within 30 days - Bank Account: ex oriente e.V., Postbank Berlin (Bank Code 10010010), Account No. 767959-106.